rophylactic effects of Q10 capsule on proteinuria in diabetic patients

Efectos profilácticos de la cápsula Q10 sobre la proteinuria en pacientes diabéticos

Bahman Sadeghi Sedeh*, Sahar Sadeghi, Pegah Mohaghegh, Ali khosro begi, Raziyeh Mohamadi , Sima Sabzevari⁶, Parastoo Amiri⁷, Maryam Goudarzian⁸ ²University of social welfare and rehabilitation sciences, Tehran, Iran

³Department of community and preventive medicine, Faculty of medicine, Arak University of medical sciences, Arak, Iran. ⁴Endocrinology and Metabolism Research Center, Department of Biochemistry and Genetics, School of Medicine, Arak University of Medical Sciences, Arak, Iran.

⁵Medical student research committee. Faculty of medicine, Arak, university of medical sciences, Arak, Iran. ⁶Department of Anesthesioligy, Sabzevar University of Medical Sciences, Sabzevar, Iran

⁷Department of Health and Community Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ⁸Iranian Research Center on Healthy Aging, Sabzevar University of Medical Sciences, Sabzevar, Iran *corresponding author: Endocrinology and Metabolism Research Center, Department of community and preventive medicine,

Faculty of medicine, Arak university of medical sciences, Arak, Iran.

Tel: +98 09132157810 Email: drbhs48@yahoo.com Academic Email: dr.sadeghis@arakmu.ac.ir

iabetic nephropathy is the most common cause of end stage renal failure in the world. Rigid control of blood pressure and blood sugar is effective in preventing the onset and progression of the disease. But an effective method of preventing it has not yet been identified. Coenzyme Q10 is effective in controlling oxidative stress, glycemic control, and blood pressure. Therefore, its capacity for preventing diabetic nephropathy can be investigated.

In this double blind randomized clinical trial, 68 eligible diabetic patients with normoalbuminuria or microalbuminuria were randomly selected and were divided to two mached groups of 34 by tossing coin. In both groups, fasting blood sugar, 2-hour post prandial glucose, HbA1C, albumin to urinary creatinine ratio (Alb/Cr), and diastolic and systolic blood pressure were measured. One group received 100 mg Q10 daily for 8 weeks and the other group received 8 weeks of placebo. Both groups were monitored and examained every 3 months and finally after 18 months, the variables were again measured by the same initial method and the results were compared with t-test and repeated mesure analysis. The Q10 capsule was able to significantly reduce fasting blood glucose, 2h post prandial glucose, HbA1C, and albumin / urinary creatinine ratio (proteinuria) (p≤0.05), but did not significantly change systolic and diastolic blood pressure.

In this 18-month follow-up, Q10 showed prophylactic effects on glycemic control and proteinuria.

Keywords: Diabetic nephropathy, proteinuria, Coenzyme Q10, Q10 capsule.

a nefropatía diabética es la causa más común de insuficiencia renal en etapa terminal en el mundo. El control rígido de la presión arterial y el azúcar en la sangre es efectivo para prevenir el inicio y la progresión de la enfermedad. Pero un método efectivo para prevenirlo aún no ha sido identificado. La coenzima Q10 es efectiva para controlar el estrés oxidativo, el control glucémico y la presión arterial. Por lo tanto, se puede investigar su capacidad para prevenir la nefropatía diabética.

En este ensayo clínico aleatorio doble ciego, 68 pacientes diabéticos elegibles con normoalbuminuria o microalbuminuria fueron seleccionados al azar y se dividieron en dos grupos de 34 mached lanzando monedas. En ambos grupos, se midieron el azúcar en sangre en ayunas, glucosa post prandial de 2 horas, HbA1C, relación albúmina a creatinina urinaria (Alb / Cr) y presión arterial diastólica y sistólica. Un grupo recibió 100 mg de Q10 diariamente durante 8 semanas y el otro grupo recibió 8 semanas de placebo. Ambos grupos fueron monitoreados y evaluados cada 3 meses y, finalmente, después de 18 meses, las variables se midieron nuevamente por el mismo método inicial y los resultados se compararon con la prueba t y el análisis de mesura repetida. La cápsula Q10 fue capaz de reducir significativamente la glucemia en ayunas, la glucosa 2 horas después de la prandia, la HbA1C y la relación albúmina / creatinina urinaria (proteinuria) (p≤0.05), pero no modificó significativamente la presión arterial sistólica y diastólica.

En este seguimiento de 18 meses, Q10 mostró efectos profilácticos sobre el control glucémico y la proteinuria.

Palabras clave: Nefropatía diabética, proteinuria, Coenzima Q10, cápsula Q10.

Materials and methods

he World Health Organization (WHO) has reported diabetes as the most common endocrine disorder that accounts for 4 million deaths annually in the world. According to the World Health Organization and the World Association of Diabetes, it is estimated that by the year 2025 there will be more than 300 million diabetic patients in the world and by the year 2035 this will reach to 592 million¹.

Diabetes Mellitus is associated with multiple complications such as diabetic nephropathy. Diabetic nephropathy develops in about one-third of diabetic patients and is characterized by increased protein and albumin excretion through urine, high blood pressure, and decreased kidney functions. This phenomenon develops over the years. Patients with nephropathy are at increased risk of other complications of diabetes, such as cardiovascular disease, retinopathy and neuropathy².

Generally, 10 to 15 years after the diagnosis of diabetes, microalbuminuria (20 to 200 micrograms per minute or 30 to 300 mg per 24 hours) is apeares. Early treatment and attention to quality of life, prevent the progression of microalbuminuria to macrolabineuria and ultimately the renal end stage. As a result, screening and preventing patients as early as possible in the microalbuminuria stage is crucial^{3,4}.

Proteinuria screening should be performed annually in all patients immediately after diagnosis of type 2 diabetes and five years after the diagnosis of type 1 diabetes. The easiest screening method for microalbuminuria is to measure the ratio of albumin to creatinine in randomized urine specimens. This measure is largely consistent with 24-hour urine protein estimation⁵.

In type 2 diabetes, due to hyperglycemia, production of free oxygen radicals, weakenes of antioxidant system in the body, altered balance between antioxidant defense, and production of reactive oxygen variants an increase in oxidative stress leads to an increase in oxidative stress, with increased oxidants and reduction of antioxidants is associated with the pathogenesis of various diseases, such as albuminuria and ultimately diabetic nephropathy⁸.

Several studies in diabetic patients have shown a significant relationship between urinary albumin and oxidation. Q10 is a potent fat-soluble antioxidant that its reduction plays a main role in many diseases such as diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease. This coenzyme with antioxidant propertes, has an oxidative stress reduction potential and increases antioxidant activity in patients with type 2 diabetes⁹⁻¹⁴.

It is supported by numerous studies¹⁵⁻¹⁸ that Coenzyme Q10 (CoQ10) is a non-enzymatic antioxidant that is also synthesized in the body and plays a vital role in the mitochondrial electron transfer chain, and it also has the potential to regenerate other antioxidants. Therefore, the use of external sources of Q10 in diabetic patients can improve oxidative stress functions, mitochondrial function and glycemic control. Q10 has led to a decrease in biochemical parameters in rats¹⁹, insulin resistance, as well as an increase in total antioxidant capacity, serum glutathione levels and insulin sensitivity²⁰ and has decreased blood pressure²¹ in recent years. All in all, this study was designed and implemented to determine the effect of Q10 capsule on prevention of proteinuria in diabetic patients.

this double-blind randomized clinical trial, with registered clinical trial code of IRCT20160408027278N1, based on the prevalence of 20-30% of microalbuminuria with the mean of 190 µg urine albumin in diabetic patients²², confidence interval (CI) of 95%, power of 80%, and 35 µg difference based on statistical relation of comparison of the means, 72 diabetic patients with normo albuminuria or microalbuminuria were selexted by simple sampling and were randomly divided into two groups of 36 cases based on coin tossing. Each and every patient were mached for some confounding or interfering variables such as age, sex, duration of disease body mass index and comrbidity.

The benefits and potential risks of the intervention were explained to each patient and his/her companion.

After obtaining written well-informed consent, patients'demographic information and laboratory information including fasting blood sugars, post prandial glucose, HbA1C, blood urea nitrogen, serum creatinine, creatinine and urine albumin were measured and recorded in the same laboratory with the same method.

Neither the physicians nor the patients were aware of group allocation. Criteria for inclusion in this study were diagnosis and selection of patients as Type II Outpatient diabetic patients by professors of Diabetes department of Imam Reza clinic, aged 18-60 years old, diabetic patients with normoalbuminuria or microalbuminuria, and an active case in diabetes clinics.

Exclusion criteria were patients with severe physical activity, dehydrated, any type of bleeding, febrile illnesses, type 1 diabetes, record of non-diabetic proteinuria or massive proteinuria, nephrotic syndrome, any malignancy, vasculitis, macroalbuminuria, use of effective drugs in controling proteinuria, and patients with incomplete diabetes control data.

The intervention group received a pure capsule of Q10, 100 mg daily (American Health Burst pharmaceutical company) for 8 weeks and the other group received placebo capsule (Osveh pharmaceutical company), 100 mg daily for 8 weeks.

During this 8-week period, both groups were monitored by telephone and monthly visits for their use of medications, diet, physical activity, and how Q10 capsules were taken and its possible side effects. Then, both groups were followed for 18 months and during this period they received similar care and diagnostic and therapeutic services every 3 months.

After 18 months, levels of fasting blood glucose, post prandial glucose, HbA1C, serum creatinine, creatinine and urine albumin were measured again in the same laboratory and in the same technique.

In all patients, determination of proteinuria was calculated base on albumin to creatinine ratio in randomly urine sample (morning midstrem urine). All patients were advised not to have heavy activity 24 hours before the urine sampling. For UACR test (Urine Albumin (mg)/ Creatinin ratio (g)), a urine sample is collected randomly and its albumin levels to creatinine ratio is measured and the test is reported in mg/g.

In this method, an immunologic method, in which a Monoclonal Antibody is used against Albumin, was applied to measure albumin in primary experiments. Therefore, in the second measurement, the same experimental method was used. The sensitivity of this method in evaluation of 24-hour urine protein is declared to be 85%-87% and its specificity 88%²³.

Finally, after data collection and entering it into the SPSS 19 software, the two groups were compared by drawing tables and charts and using statistical methods of independent T-test, paired T-test, or its nonparametric equivalents.

uring the 18 months' follow-up, two patints in intervention and two patients in control group were excluded from the study due to death, migration, or reluctance to continue the treatment.

In 68 patients, 39 (57.3%) were male and 29 (42.7%) were female. At the beginning of the study, the two groups were matched according to the anthropometric and demographic variables according to Table 1, and based on the independent t-test ($P \ge 0.05$).

Table 1: Comparison of anthropometric, demographic laboratory indices in the beginning of the study and							
variable	group	count	min	max	mean	standard deviation	P value
Age	Q10	34	40	64	60.2	11.4	0.238
	Plasebo	34	43	57	54.3	9.6	
Weight	Q10	34	56	84	70.5	7.0	0.301
	Plasebo	34	58	79	69.4	6.9	
Systolic blood pressure	Q10	34	110	184	143.2	14.3	0.311
	Plasebo	34	100	162	130.4	11.8	
Diastolic blood pressure	Q10	34	58	110	75.6	10.5	0.439
	Plasebo	34	64	105	82.1	8.9	
Fasting blood glucose	Q10	34	76	220	146.9	30.4	0.729
	Plasebo	34	70	204	138.2	25.5	
Post prandial glucose	Q10	34	139	259	196.2	27.4	0.541
	Plasebo	34	153	268	185.6	27.2	
HBA1C	Q10	34	6.1	8.5	7.4	0.72	0.393
	Plasebo	34	6.0	8.1	7.2	0.78	

The distribution of microalbuminuria and normoalbuminuria and urinary albumin to creatinine excretion ratio, in both groups were similar at the beginning of the study (P≥0.05). However, the urine albumin / creatinine ratio (proteinuria) in terms of gender and on the basis of independent t-test was higher in men than in women

After a minimum of 18 months of taking Q10 or placebo, using the same initial method, the variables of the study were re-measured. According to table 2 and based on paired t-test, weight and systolic and diastolic blood pressure did not change significantly in placebo and Q10 after 18 months (P≥0.05). Also there was no statistical significant change in fasting blood glucose, post prandial glucose and hemoglobin glycosylated levels in placebo group (P≥0.05), but there was a statistically significant reduction in fasting blood glucose, post prandial glucose and hemoglobin glycosylated levels in Q10 group (P≤0.05).

Table 2: Comparison of mean and standard deviation of anthropometric indices before and after in two groups					
variable	group	before	18 mounth later	P value	
Weight	Q10	70.5±7	71.4 ± 6.3	0.439	
	Plasebo	69.4±6.9	69.9 ±7.8	0.591	
Systolic blood pressure	Q10	143.2±14.3	124.7 ±11.7	0.569	
	Plasebo	130.4±11.8	137.6 ±10.6	0.391	
Diastolic blood pressure	Q10	75.6±10.5	81.1 ±7.8	0.348	
	Plasebo	82.2±8.9	78.1 ±7.9	0.422	
Fasting blood glucose	Q10	146.9±30.4	128.1 ±27.9	0.021	
	Plasebo	138.2±25.2	149.8 ±26.1 161.1	0.081	
Post prandial glucose	Q10	196.3±27.4	±21.3	0.001	
	Plasebo	185.4±27.2	192.1 ± 25.9	0.601	
HBA1C	Q10	7.4±0.72	6.0 ±0.54	0.001	
ПВАТС	Plasebo	7.2±0.78	6.9 ± 0.83	0.311	

According to Table 3, the proteinuria rate (based on the measurement of albumin to creatinine ratio) was also lower in the Q10 group than in the placebo group after 18 months.

Table 3: Urine Albumin / creatinine ratio in both groups before and after the study					
variable	group	before	18 mounth later	P value	
Urine alb/ cr	Q10	136.3±34.9	108.5±23.4	0.001	
	Plasebo	132.9±36.8	128.3±26.6	0.081	
P value		0.231	0.019		

According to Table 4, the proportion of Normo Albuminuria after 18 months was increased in the Q10 group based on the Chi-square test (P≤0.05). Based on the same test, the relative frequency of microalbuminuria was also lower in Q10 consumption.

Table 4: Relative frequency of albuminuria and microalbuminuria in the initial stage and 18 months later

•						
variable	group	Before Count(%)	18 mounth later Count(%)	P value		
Normoalbuminuria (0-25 mg/g)	Q10	11(32.3%)	15(44.1%)	0.001		
	Plasebo	13(38.2%)	10(29.4%)	0.091		
Microalbuminuria (25-200mg/g)	Q10	23(67.7%)	19(55.9%)	0.001		
	Plasebo	21(61.8%)	24(70.6%)	0.228		

A

t the beginning of this study, the mean protein excretion in diabetic men was higher than that of women, and the

daily consumption of one Q10 capsule for 8 weeks over a period of 18 months led to a significant reduction in urinary protein excretion. Also, it increased cases of Normo albuminuria, decreased microalbuminuria, and improved glycemic control (fasting blood glucose, post prandial glucose, and HbA1C hemoglobin levels). While the drug did not have any preventive and controlling effect on weight, systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure.

The progression rate to end stage renal disease (ESRD) after nephropathy in men is more than that of women, and men have higher rates of dialysis and transplantation due to diabetes²⁴. In the present study, more protein excretion in men is support to fact.

The mean age, weight, duration of diabetes, glycosylated hemoglobin and hypertension were recognized as independent variables that determined albuminuria²². In this study, these variables were matched to eliminate their confounding effect.

Few epidemiologic studies have assessed some of the corolation of coenzyme Q10 supplementation with glycemic control. For example, in a study by Singh et al., Supplementation with 60 mg coenzyme Q10 twice daily for 28 days resulted in a decrease in fasting blood glucose²⁵. In the study of Zahedi et al., prescription of Q10, led to improved glycemic control. However, no significant changes were made in the lipid profile, which was justified by the low Q10 influence on blood pressure control²⁶.

In a study by Abutorabi, the levels of HbA1c and fasting blood glucose were significantly decreased after Q10 consuption. However, lipids and creatinine did not change significantly²⁷. In another study, supplementation with coenzymetone with a dose of 75 mg in combination with oral antidiabetic drugs, after 8 weeks, fasting blood glucose and hemoglobin glycosylated were significantly decreased²⁸. The results of all these changes are in line with our findings.

In a double-blind clinical trial, the effect of Q10 capsule on hematostase, glucose, lipid profiles, inflammatory markers and oxidative stress in patients with metabolic syndrome (Mets) in 2015 was investigated. There was a significant decrease in resistance to insulin, significant increase in serum total antioxidant capacity, significant increase in serum glutathione and insulin sensitivity, and significant decrease in serum MDA (malondialdehyde) (20). Therefore, glycemic control is expected to improve.

In another study in 2015, the administration of Q10 for 8 weeks with a daily dose of 200 mg resulted in a significant decrease in malondialdehyde (lipid peroxidation index), but the serum HbA1C, FBS and serum adiponectin did not differ significantly between the two groups²⁹. The reason for this difference may be explained during the follow up period. On the other hand, in this study, blood pressure was measured immediately after 8 weeks of intervention, and mostly therapeutic goals were sought. While our study has been tracking for 18 months and more preventative effects.

Evidence suggests that people with type 2 diabetes are more sensitive to oxidative stress than others³⁰. Oxidative stress and hyperglycemia seem to be causally related. Oxidative stress, in addition to having roots in hyperglycemia, insulin resistance, and functional disorder of the pancreatic beta cells, leads to these conditions. The exact mechanism of how oxidative stress affects hyperinsulinemia is not yet known.

Pancreatic beta cells are very susceptible to damage due to their low enzymatic and antioxidant system. Coenzyme Q10 is also part of the pancreas beta cell and liver. Therefore, Coenzyme Q10 treatment can have a protective effect on pancreatic beta cells, liver, and endothelial, and ultimately improves cell metabolism and insulin function^{31,32}.

On the other hand, this quasi-vitamin-like compound, which is similar to vitamin K, has three known biological functions. This compound leads to an increase in mitochondrial adenosine triphosphate (ATP), produces antioxidant effects and enhances the cell membrane's stability³³.

With all these mechanisms, glycemic control is expected to improve in diabetic patients with Q10.

Coenzyme Q10 supplements can also improve cardiovascular and hypertension and have a protective effect on heart and blood vessels. Researchers have found that supplementation with coenzyme Q10in people with uncontrolled blood pressure results in a significant reduction in blood pressure. In a study of 76 patients with systolic blood pressure, a significant reduction in systolic blood pressure of 18 mmHg was observed. Coenzyme Q10 also improved the endothelial function of large blood vessels³⁴.

In another study, the effect of phenofibrate and 200 mg Q10 in type 2 diabetic patients with abnormal left ventricular diastolic function was investigated. These two interventions, in the form of synergistic, reduced the 24-hour systolic blood pressure, especially during sleep. Q10 also reduced diastolic blood pressure at awakening (35). In addition, the results of the 120-mg Q10 supplementation in patients with primary hypertension and coronary artery disease showed a significant decrease in systolic and diastolic blood pressure¹⁰. Conversely, the findings from a number of studies indicate that Q10 has no effect on blood pressure^{8,12,13}.

The mechanism of Q10 in reducing hypertension is still uncertain. However, there are several theories in this case¹¹. The main function of this coenzyme in clinical hypertension is vascular dilatation through direct effects on endothelium and smooth muscle, which reduces environmental resistance¹⁴.

Another theory is that Q10 reduces environmental resistance by protecting nitric oxide. In some types of blood pressure, superoxide radicals inactivate production of high amounts of nitric oxide. Q10 with its antioxidant effects, may prevent the activation of nitric oxide by these radicals¹⁵. It is also possible that Q10 may be effective in lowering blood pressure by reducing the viscosity of the blood and lowering the secretion of aldosterone¹¹.

All of the above issues have been suggested with probability and by hypothesis, and although Q10 consumption generally leads to lower blood pressure and especially systolic pressure, the preventive effects were not confirmed in this study. For some of its possible reasons, the difference between therapeutic and prophylactic effects can be mentioned. Because variables such as systolic and diastolic blood pressure will not always be constant over time, it is hypothesized that although Q10 is expected to lead to a reduction in systolic and diastolic blood pressure in the short term, its 8-week use and its discontinuous use have no prophylactic effects on blood pressure.

Conclusions

rescription of co-enzyme Q10 at a daily dose of 100 mg for 8 weeks, by the use of a mechanism for improving the function of beta cells and glucose metabolism and fatty acids, and protective effect on pancreatic beta cells, liver and endothelial, is able to reduce proteinuria in diabetic nephropathy in both males and females. But there is no prophylactic effect on systolic and diastolic blood pressure.

Acknowledgments

This research was conducted as a thesis research with the code IR.ARAKMU.REC.1396.98, with the financial support of the research deputy of Arak university of Medical Sciences and Research Center for Metabolism and the Student Research Committee, with the participation of the Imam Reza Clinic and Diabetes Unit of Arak Medical Sciences. Therefore, their sincere cooperation is appreciated and thanked.

References

- International Diabetes Federation. IDF diabetes atlas. 5th ed. Available at:
 - http://www.idf.org/diabetesatlas/5e/the-global. Accessed May 5, 2013.
- Bherwani S, Saumya AS, Ahirwar AK, et al (2016). The association of folic acid deficiency and diabetic nephropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Endocr Metab Immune Disord Drug Targets. Apr 15.
- 3. Tang SC, Chan GC, Lai KN (2016). Recent advances in managing and understanding diabetic nephropathy. F1000Res. P. 5.
- 4. Ekinci El, Jerums G, Skene A, Crammer P, Power D, Cheong KY (2013). Renal structure in normoalbuminuric and albuminuric patients with type 2 diabetes and impaired renal function. Diabetes Care. 36(11), pp. 3620-6.
- 5. Hall JE, Henegar JR, Dwyer TM, Liu J, Da Silva AA, Kuo JJ (2004). Is obesity a major cause of chronic kidney disease? Adv Ren Replace Ther. 11(1), pp. 41-54.
- Odegaard JI, Chawla A (2012). Connecting type 1 and type 2 diabetes through innate immunity. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2(3), a007724.
- Chiarelli F, Gaspari S, Marcovecchio ML (2009). Role of growth factors in diabetic kidney disease. Horm Metab Res. 41(8), pp. 585-93.
- 8. Rask-Madsen C, King GL (2010). Kidney complications: factors that protect the diabetic vasculature. Nat Med. 16(1), pp. 40-1.
- Bergman AJ, Cote J, Yi B, Marbury T, Swan SK, Smith W (2007). Effect of renal insufficiency on the pharmacokinetics of sitagliptin, a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor. Diabetes Care. 30(7), pp. 1862-4.
- 10. Laight DW (2009). Therapeutic inhibition of the renin angiotensin aldosterone system. Expert Opin Ther Pat. 19(6), pp. 753-9.

- 11.Jennings DL, Kalus JS, Coleman CI, Manierski C, Yee J (2007). Combination therapy with an ACE inhibitor and an angiotensin receptor blocker for diabetic nephropathy: a meta-analysis. Diabet Med ..24(5), pp. 486-93.
- 12. Imai E, Chan JC, Ito S, et al (2011). Effects of olmesartan on renal and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes with overt nephropathy: a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled study. Diabetologia. 54(12), pp. 2978-2986.
- 13. Daudon M, Traxer O, Conort P, Lacour B, Jungers P (2006). Type 2 diabetes increases the risk for uric acid stones. J Am Soc Nephrol. 17 (7), pp. 2026-33.
- Hattori S (2011). Sitagliptin reduces albuminuria in patients with type 2 diabetes [Rapid Communication]. Endocrine journal. 58(1), pp. 69-73.
- 15.Mori H, Okada Y, Arao T, Tanaka Y (2014). Sitagliptin improves albuminuria in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Journal of diabetes investigation. 1;5(3), pp. 313-9.
- 16. PER-HENRIK GROOP, MARK E (2013). COOPER, VLADO PERKOVIC. Linagliptin Lowers Albuminuria on Top of Recommended Standard Treatment in Patients with Type2 Diabetes and Renal Dysfunction. Diabetes Care 36, pp. 3460–3468.
- 17. Heerspink HJ, de Zeeuw D (2011). The kidney in type 2 diabetes therapy. Rev Diabet Stud. 8(3), 392-402.
- Haluzík M, Frolík J, Rychlík I (2013). Renal effects of DPP-4 inhibitors: a focus on microalbuminuria. International journal of endocrinology. P. 5.
- 19. Heibashy M, El-Nahla A, Mazen G, Shahin M (2009). Assessment role of coenzyme Q10 on some physiological and biochemical parameters in resembling type II diabetic rats. Egypt J Basic Appl Physiol. 8(2),, pp. 255-72.
- 20.Raygan F, Rezavandi Z, Tehrani SD, Farrokhian A, Asemi Z (2015). The effects of coenzyme Q10 administration on glucose homeostasis parameters, lipid profiles, biomarkers of inflammation and oxidative stress in patients with metabolic syndrome. European journal of nutrition. Pp. 1-8.
- 21. Kolahdos Mohammadi R, Hassanzadeh Mj, Kolahdos Mohammadi M, Eshraghiyan Mr, Khorami E, Esteghamati A (2013). Supplementation with coenzyme Q10 and the serum concentration of nitric oxide metabolites on blood pressure in pationt with type 2 diabetes. Pp. 278-272
- Safaei H, Amini M (2006). Prevalance of Microalbuminouria and Related Risk Factors in Diabeties 2 Patients. Iranian Journal of Diabetes and Metabolism. 5(4), pp. 331-338
- 23.Wu HY, Peng YS, Chiang CK, Huang JW, Hung KY, Wu KD, Tu YK, Chien KL (2014). JAMA Diagnostic performance of random urine samples using albumin concentration vs ratio of albumin to

- creatinine for microalbuminuria screening in patients with diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Intern Med. 174(7), pp. 1108-15.
- 24.Stehouwer CD (2002). Increased urinary albumin excretion, endothelial dysfunction and chronic low-grade inflammation in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes 51: pp. 1157-62.
- 25. Singh RB, Niaz MA, Rastogi SS, Shukla PK & Thakur AS (1999). Effect of hydrosoluble coenzyme Q10 on blood pressures and insulin resistance in hypertensive patients with coronary artery disease. J. Hum. Hypertens. 13, pp. 203–208.
- 26.Zahedi H, Eghtesadi S, Seifirad S, Rezaee N, Shidfar F, Heydari I, Golestan B, Jazayeri S (2014). Effects of CoQ10 Supplementation on Lipid Profiles and Glycemic Control in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes: a randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled trial. Journal of diabetes and metabolic disorders. 13, p. 81.
- 27. Aboutorabi R Saeedi M (2014). The effect of Antiaging COQ10 plus on the Treatment of Diabetic Neuropathy. Medical Journal of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences. 57, 6, pp 793-798.
- 28. Mezawa M, Takemoto M, Onishi S, Ishibashi R, Ishikawa T, Yamaga M, Fujimoto M, Okabe E, He P, Kobayashi K, Yokote K (2012). The reduced form of coenzyme Q10 improves glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes: an open label pilot study. Biofactors. 1;38(6), pp. 416-21.
- 29. Moazen M, Mazloom Z, Dabbaghmanesh M.H, Ahmadi A, Roosta S (2015). Effect of coenzyme Q10 on glycaemic control-oxidative stress and adiponectin in type 2 diabetes JPMA. P. 65.
- 30. Moosavi Sh F Z, Shabbidar S, Aghasadeghi K, Rajae F (2008). The impact of vitamin E on glycemic control and lipid profiles in type 2 diabetes patients. The Horizon of Medical Sciences. 15;14(3), pp. 64-71.
- 31. Ceriello A (2000). Oxidative stress and glycemic regulation. Metab. Clin. Exp. 49 (Suppl1), pp. 27 29.
- 32.Beyer RE (1990). The participation of conenzyme Q10 in free radical production and antioxidation. Free Radic Biol Med. 8: pp. 545-465.
- 33.Lim SC, Tan HH, Goh SK, Subramaniam T (2006). Sum CF, Tan IK, Lee BL, and Ong CN. Oxidative burden in prediabetic and diabetic individuals: Evidence from plasma coenzyme Q (10) Diabetic Med. 23, pp. 1344–1349
- 34. Jalali S F, Baradaran M, Mehdinejadshenasi M, Roshan T (2010). Effect of Coenzyme- Q10 in Congestive Heart Failure. J Babol Univ Med Sci; 12(2).
- 35. Chew GT, Watts GF, Davis TM, Stuckey BG, Beilin LJ, Thompson PL, et al (2008). Hemodynamic effects of fenofibrate and coenzyme Q10 in type 2 diabetic subjects with left ventricular diastolic dysfunction. Diabetes Care. 31(8), pp. 1502-9.