

Lance fly (Diptera: Lonchaeidae) host plants in the State of São Paulo, Southeast Brazil

Adalton Raga¹, Miguel Francisco de Souza-Filho¹, Pedro Carlos Strikis², Sônia Maria Nalesso Marangoni Montes³

¹Laboratory of Economic Entomology, Biological Institute, P.O. Box 70, Campinas, SP, Brazil. E-mail: adalton@biologico.sp.gov.br.

²University of São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

³APTA Regional, Presidente Prudente, SP, Brazil.

Abstract

RAGA A, DE SOUZA-FILHO MF, STRIKIS PC, MARANGONI MONTES SMN. 2015. Lance fly (Diptera: Lonchaeidae) host plants in the State of São Paulo, Southeast Brazil. ENTOMOTROPICA 30(7): 57-68.

Many species of Lonchaeidae predominate in the complex of fruit flies (Tephritoidea) infesting more species of host fruits than the species of Tephritidae. In the southern hemisphere, very little is known about the diversity of the species of Lonchaeidae and their host relationship. This paper records the species of Lonchaeidae and their respective host plants as recovered out of a total of 1,522 samples of fruit relating to 113 plant species coming from 94 municipalities of the State of São Paulo, Brazil. A total of 26,906 Lonchaeidae adults were obtained, belonging to 14 species of *Neosilba* McAlpine, *Dasiops inedulis* Steyskal, *Dasiops frieseni* Norrbom & McAlpine and *Lonchaea* sp. Specimens of *Neosilba* represented 99.78 % of the adults recovered: *N. bella* Strikis & Prado, *N. bifida* Strikis & Prado, *N. certa* (Walker), *N. cornuphallus* Strikis, *N. dimidiata* Curran, *N. inesperata* Strikis & Prado, *N. glaberrima* (Wiedemann), *N. laura* Strikis, *N. paramerolatus* Strikis, *N. parva* Hennig, *N. pradoi* Strikis & Lerena, *N. pendula* (Bezzi), *N. perezi* (Romero & Ruppel) and *N. zadolicha* McAlpine & Steyskal. *Neosilba zadolicha* is predominant, abundant and generalist species.

Additional key words: Ecology, *Neosilba*, plant species, Tephritoidea.

Resumen

RAGA A, DE SOUZA-FILHO MF, STRIKIS PC, MARANGONI MONTES SMN. 2015. Plantas hospederas de moscas de la fruta (Diptera: Lonchaeidae) en el Estado de São Paulo, Brasil. ENTOMOTROPICA 30(7): 57-68.

Muchas especies de Lonchaeidae predominan en el complejo de moscas de la fruta (Tephritoidea) infestando más especies de frutas hospedantes que las especies de Tephritidae. En el hemisferio sur, se sabe muy poco acerca de la diversidad de las especies de Lonchaeidae y su relación con las plantas hospederas. Este documento registra las especies de Lonchaeidae y sus respectivas plantas hospederas, de un total de 1 522 muestras de frutas tomadas en 113 especies vegetales, procedentes de 94 municipios del estado de São Paulo, Brasil. Se obtuvieron un total de 26 906 Lonchaeidae adultos, pertenecientes a 14 especies de *Neosilba* McAlpine, *Dasiops inedulis* Steyskal, *Dasiops frieseni* Norrbom y McAlpine y *Lonchaea* sp. Los especímenes de *Neosilba* representaron el 99,78 % de los adultos recuperados: *N. bella* Strikis y Prado, *N. bifida* Strikis y Prado, *N. certa* (Walker), *N. cornuphallus* Strikis, *N. dimidiata* Curran, *N. inesperata* Strikis y Prado, *N. glaberrima* (Wiedemann), *N. laura* Strikis, *N. paramerolatus* Strikis, *N. parva* Hennig, *N. pradoi* Strikis y Lerena, *N. pendula* (Bezzi), *N. perezi* (Romero y Ruppel) y *N. zadolicha* McAlpine y Steyskal. *Neosilba zadolicha* fue la especie predominante, más abundante y generalista.

Palabras clave adicionales: Ecología, especies de plantas, *Neosilba*, Tephritoidea.

Introduction

Flies of the Lonchaeidae family (Tephritoidea) are very peculiar since their body is either bright black or glossy metallic blue and/or green (Korytkowsky and Ojeda 1971). Larvae of Lonchaeidae develop in fruit, flowers, seeds, cacti and seedlings of various species of cultivated and wild plants. Few species are primary invaders of plant tissue, the remainder developing on decaying organic matter (McAlpine 1961, 1987).

In the tropics and subtropics, most species of Lonchaeidae are often found in fruit infested by Tephritidae and by other primary pests (Pitkin 1996, Ahlmark and Steck 1997). Some species of Lonchaeidae also lay eggs on fruits not previously infested by species of Tephritidae (Uchôa et al. 2002, Strikis and Prado 2005, Silva et al. 2006, Raga et al. 2011, Garcia and Norrbom 2011).

A total of 536 species of Lonchaeidae has been described. The species are distributed in eight genera, being *Lonchaea* the richest species (213 species), followed by *Dasiops* (128 species), *Silba* (98 species) and *Neosilba* (40 species) (EDIT 2013). Lonchaeids are found in a wide range of habitats from the Arctic Circle to the Equator in all zoogeographical regions (MacGowan and Freidberg 2008). *Neosilba* is limited to the neotropical region, Florida and Southern United States (McAlpine and Steyskal 1982). Species of *Silba* have not been seen in Brazil. Up to date, 25 species of *Neosilba* are known in Brazil.

Some species of Lonchaeidae are geographically widespread in Brazil, particularly *Neosilba bifida* Strikis & Prado, *N. zadolicha* McAlpine & Steyskal, *N. certa* (Walker), *N. pendula* (Bezzi), *N. glaberrima* (Wiedemann), *N. perezi* (Romero & Ruppel) and *Dasiops inedulis* Steyskal (Aguiar-Menezes et al. 2004, 2007; Araújo and Zucchi 2002, Bittencourt et al. 2006, Caires et al. 2009, Lopes et al. 2007, Lourençao et al. 1996,

Santos et al. 2004, Silva et al. 2006, Souza et al. 2005, Souza-Filho et al. 2009, Strikis and Prado 2009, Uchôa and Nicácio 2010, Garcia and Norrbom 2011). Raga et al. (2011) recovered Lonchaeids from all Tephritidae host plants (63) collected in the State of São Paulo, 23 of which are introduced hosts. Out of the total of adult Tephritoidea recovered from fruit samples, these authors obtained 20.8 % of specimens of Lonchaeidae.

Although Lonchaeidae are well represented in South America, little is known about the bioecology of the known species (Korytkowsky and Ojeda 1971). Part of the specimens collected come from McPhail traps with baits of hydrolyzed protein or molasses intended to monitor species of Tephritidae (Raga et al. 2006). Knowledge on frugivorous species (Diptera) and their host plants is critical in order to understand the temporal and spatial distribution of this group of insects in the ecosystems (Uchôa and Nicácio 2010) and also to provide input to fruit-growing pest control. With the purpose of contributing to the knowledge on diversity of frugivorous insects, in this work we have recorded the species of Lonchaeidae in the State of São Paulo, Brazil.

Material and methods

The territory of the State of São Paulo is mostly a plateau with the following distribution: 7 % of the surface lies above 900 m, 85 % of the surface lies between 300 m and 900 m, whereas 8 % of the surface lies below 300 m. According to Koeppen climate classification, the State of São Paulo covers three separate climates, most of them corresponding to humid climates. The most important climates are: super-humid, high-altitude tropical, warm and humid tropical, and humid subtropical. The predominant type in the largest area is Cwa, which covers the entire center part of the state and is characterized by high-altitude tropical climate, with rainy summers and dry winters.

Table 1 Species diversity of *Neosilba* (Diptera: Lonchaeidae) and their respective host plant families in the State of São Paulo, Brazil (Mar 1993 to Jun 2013).

<i>Neosilba</i> species	% of adults	Botanical family (number of botanical species)
<i>N. bella</i> Strikis & Prado	0.46	Myrtaceae (2), Rosaceae (1), Rubiaceae (1), Sapotaceae (1), Verbenaceae (1)
<i>N. bifida</i> Strikis & Prado	0.25	Fabaceae (1), Moraceae (1), Myrtaceae (3), Rosaceae (2), Rubiaceae (1), Rutaceae (1), Verbenaceae (1)
<i>N. certa</i> (Walker)	9.65	Annonaceae (2), Combretaceae (1), Fabaceae (3), Malpighiaceae (1), Moraceae (2), Myrtaceae (7), Oxalidaceae (1), Passifloraceae (2), Rosaceae (7), Rubiaceae (1), Rutaceae (5), Sapotaceae (2), Solanaceae (4)
<i>N. cornuphallus</i> Strikis	0.10	Fabaceae (1), Malpighiaceae (1), Moraceae (1), Myrtaceae (1), Rosaceae (1), Verbenaceae (1)
<i>N. dimidiata</i> Curran	0.27	Annonaceae (1), Myrtaceae (2), Sapotaceae (1)
<i>N. inesperata</i> Strikis & Prado	1.82	Fabaceae (1), Malpighiaceae (1), Myrtaceae (5), Oxalidaceae (1), Passifloraceae (1), Rosaceae (3), Rubiaceae (1), Rutaceae (3), Solanaceae (3)
<i>N. glaberrima</i> (Wiedemann)	5.73	Anacardiaceae (1), Annonaceae (1), Fabaceae (2), Lauraceae (1), Malpighiaceae (1), Moraceae (1), Myrtaceae (2), Oxalidaceae (1), Passifloraceae (1), Rosaceae (2), Rutaceae (5), Sapotaceae (3), Solanaceae (4)
<i>N. laura</i> Strikis	0.44	Fabaceae (1), Myrtaceae (2), Rubiaceae (1), Rutaceae (1), Solanaceae (1)
<i>N. paramerolatus</i> Strikis	0.01	Sapotaceae (1)
<i>N. parva</i> Hennig	9.97	Lauraceae (1), Rutaceae (1), Solanaceae (4)
<i>N. pradoi</i> Strikis & Lerena	0.21	Myrtaceae (1), Rutaceae (1), Solanaceae (1)
<i>N. pendula</i> (Bezzi)	16.34	Anacardiaceae (3), Annonaceae (1), Fabaceae (2), Malpighiaceae (2), Moraceae (1), Myrtaceae (9), Oxalidaceae (1), Rhamnaceae (1), Rosaceae (5), Rubiaceae (1), Rutaceae (5), Sapotaceae (2)
<i>N. perezii</i> (Romero & Ruppel)	0.03	Euphorbiaceae (1), Malpighiaceae (1)
<i>N. zadolicha</i> McAlpine & Steyskal	54.72	Anacardiaceae (2), Annonaceae (6), Combretaceae (1), Cucurbitaceae (3), Ebenaceae (1), Fabaceae (2), Lauraceae (1), Malpighiaceae (1), Moraceae (3), Musaceae (1), Myrtaceae (5), Passifloraceae (2), Rosaceae (4), Rubiaceae (1), Rutaceae (8), Sapotaceae (5), Solanaceae (6)

The average temperature in the hottest month is above 22 °C. In some isolated spots, type-Am climate is found, which characterizes rainy tropical climate, with dry winters, where the rainfall in the driest month is lower than 60 mm (Estado de São Paulo 2007).

From March 1993 to June 2013, a total of 1,522 fruit samples of 113 botanical species related to 31 plant families were collected from 94 municipalities of all regions of São Paulo State, Brazil. A total of 322,664 fruits (5,424.78 kg)

were collected randomly from the top and from the ground under the trees (Table 1). Samples were obtained from unsprayed trees and brought to the Laboratory of Economic Entomology, Instituto Biológico. The fruits were placed in fruit-holding boxes containing sterilized sand at the bottom and a piece of cotton cloth at the top. About 15–20 days later, the sand in the boxes was sieved to remove Lonchaeidae puparia, which were transferred to a glass cage (6,000 cc) with dry sand at the bottom and kept

at 25 ± 2 °C and 70 ± 10 % relative humidity for 25 days for adult emergence. Adults were killed in a freezer and placed in labeled vials with 70 % ethanol for identification.

The identification of the species of Lonchaeidae involves examining the male's genitals or the female's structure and chaetotaxy (McGowan and Okamoto 2013). Specimens of Lonchaeidae were classified according to McAlpine and Steyskal (1982), Strikis and Prado (2006, 2009), Strikis and Lerena (2009).

Results

In the present study, 26,906 adult Lonchaeidae were recovered, belonging to 14 species of *Neosilba*, *Dasiops inedulis*, *Dasiops frieseni* Norrbom & McAlpine and *Lonchaea* sp. (Tables 1 and 2). The specimens of *Neosilba* totaled 99.78 % of the adults recovered and showed a sexual ratio of 0.50. Species of Lonchaeidae were found in 77 vegetal species/hybrids (Table 2), corresponding to 68.1 % of the plant species collected.

Neosilba zadolicha was predominant and abundant, representing 54.72 % of male adults of the genus recovered during the study, followed by *N. pendula* (16.34 %) and *N. parva* (9.97 %). Except for *Neosilba paramerolatus* Strikis, which was recovered only from *Pouteria torta* (Martens) Radlkofer (Sapotaceae), the remainder species of *Neosilba* occurred from 2 to 19 hosts.

In general, the species of *Neosilba* recovered in the present study had high plasticity in terms of colonizing host plant families, particularly *N. zadolicha*, *N. certa*, *N. glaberrima* and *N. pendula*, obtained from 17, 13, 13 and 12 botanic families, respectively. These species were found in 51, 40, 33 and 25 hosts respectively (Table 2). Myrtaceae, Rosaceae, Rutaceae and Solanaceae displayed 11, 10, 9 and 8 species of Lonchaeidae, respectively.

The species richness of Lonchaeidae was greater in *Eriobotrya japonica* (Thunb.) Lindl. (10),

followed by *Psidium guajava* L. (9), *Inga* spp. (8), *Coffea* spp. (8), *Prunus persica* (L.) Batsch. (7), *Malpighia emarginata* Sessé & Moc ex DC. (7) and *Solanum gilo* Raddi (7).

Neosilba certa, *N. glaberrima*, *N. parva*, *N. perezi* and *N. zadolicha* were obtained in hosts collected in any month of the year. *Neosilba dimidiata* Curran was obtained only during the first quarter of year, *N. pradoi* was obtained in May and December, and *N. paramerolatus* was obtained only in January (Table 3).

Discussion

The diversity obtained in the present study demonstrates the importance of Lonchaeidae in the context of the Superfamily Tephritoidea and their capacity to explore different plant species and families under tropical conditions. The characteristic of polyphagia was evidenced in most species recorded in the State of São Paulo. Twenty-six species are concomitant hosts of *N. zadolicha* and *N. pendula*, whereas 15 species are concomitant hosts of *N. glaberrima* and *N. pendula*.

Most species of Myrtaceae collected in the present study are native and commonly found in rural and urban areas of the State of São Paulo. The fruit of these plants displayed the greatest diversity of *Neosilba* (11 species), nine species of *Neosilba* were recovered from *Psidium guajava* fruits. Some species of Myrtaceae have several fruiting seasons along the year or else they have a single, long period of fruiting, which possibly facilitates exploration by Lonchaeidae. This aspect is also characteristic of *E. japonica*, but it is not observed in other species of Rosaceae, which produce fruit only once a year. Solely in *E. japonica* we have obtained the entire diversity observed in Rosaceae (10 species). The diversity was greater than the one obtained by Strikis and Prado (2009) for *E. japonica* in the State of São Paulo, where the first report of infestation by *N.*

Table 2. Host plants of Lonchaeidae species (Diptera: Tephritoidea) in the State of São Paulo, Brazil (Mar 1993 to Jun 2013).

Family/ Botanical name	Native (N) or Introduced (I)	Total number of lonchaeids	Total number of males	(comparative % of <i>Neosilba</i> males)
Anacardiaceae				
<i>Lithraea molleoides</i> (Vell.) Engl.	N	35	15	<i>N. glaberrima</i> (86.67), <i>N. pendula</i> (13.33)
<i>Mangifera indica</i> L.	I	21	8	<i>N. zadolicha</i>
<i>Spondias dulcis</i> Parkinson	I	7	3	<i>N. pendula</i>
<i>Spondias purpurea</i> L.	I	7	3	<i>N. pendula</i> (66.67), <i>N. zadolicha</i> (33.33)
Annonaceae				
<i>Annona coriacea</i> Mart.	N	47	18	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (94.44), <i>N. certa</i> (5.56)
<i>Annona emarginata</i> (Schltdl.) H. Rainer	N	1	1	<i>N. certa</i>
<i>Annona mucosa</i> Jacq.	N	10	5	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (60.00), <i>N. certa</i> (40.00)
<i>Annona reticulata</i> L.	I	4	3	<i>N. zadolicha</i>
<i>Annona sericia</i> Dunal	N	67	22	<i>N. dimidiata</i> (54.55), <i>N. zadolicha</i> (27.27), <i>N. glaberrima</i> (18.18)
<i>Annona squamosa</i> L. x A. cherimola Mill.	I	9	6	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (83.33), <i>N. pendula</i> (16.67)
<i>Annona sylvatica</i> St. Hil.	N	29	9	<i>N. zadolicha</i>
Caricaceae				
<i>Carica papaya</i> L.	I	2	2	<i>Lonchaea</i> sp.
Combretaceae				
<i>Terminalia catappa</i> L.	I	173	97	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (47.42), <i>N. inesperata</i> (39.18), <i>N. certa</i> (13.40)
Cucurbitaceae				
<i>Cucurbita maxima</i> Duchesne	N	410	220	<i>N. zadolicha</i>
<i>Cucurbita moschata</i> Duchesne	I	19	9	<i>N. zadolicha</i>
<i>Cucurbita moschata</i> x C. maxima	I	22	12	<i>N. zadolicha</i>
Ebenaceae				
<i>Diospyros kaki</i> L.f.	I	2	1	<i>N. zadolicha</i>
Euphorbiaceae				
<i>Manihot esculenta</i> Crantz	N	3	3	<i>N. perezi</i>
Fabaceae				
<i>Inga</i> spp.	N	1,859	875	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (64.80), <i>N. certa</i> (14.97), <i>N. glaberrima</i> (7.66), <i>N. pendula</i> (6.63), <i>N. laura</i> (4.91), <i>N. bifida</i> (0.46), <i>N. inesperata</i> (0.34), <i>N. cornuphallus</i> (0.23)
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> (Lam.) de Wit.	I	2	2	<i>N. certa</i> (50.0), <i>N. pendula</i> (50.0)
<i>Swartzia langsdorffii</i> Raddi	N	26	14	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (64.28), <i>N. glaberrima</i> (21.44), <i>N. certa</i> (14.28)

Continue.....

Continue. Table 2. Host plants of Lonchaeidae species (Diptera: Tephritoidea) in the State of São Paulo, Brazil (Mar 1993 to Jun 2013).

Family/ Botanical name	Native (N) or Introduced (I)	Total number of lonchaeids	Total number of males	(comparative % of <i>Neosilba</i> males)
Lauraceae				
<i>Persea americana</i> Mill.	I	3,100	1,546	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (76.78), <i>N. certa</i> (8.02), <i>N. glaberrima</i> (7.89), <i>N. pendula</i> (7.12), <i>N. parva</i> (0.19)
Malpighiaceae				
<i>Bunchosia armeniaca</i> (Cav.) Juss.	I	3	1	<i>N. pendula</i>
<i>Malpighia emarginata</i> Sessé & Moc ex DC.	I	566	309	<i>N. pendula</i> (53.07), <i>N. inesperata</i> (40.14), <i>N. zadolicha</i> (4.86), <i>N. certa</i> (0.97), <i>N. cornuphallus</i> (0.32), <i>N. glaberrima</i> (0.32), <i>N. perezi</i> (0.32)
Malvaceae				
<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i> L.	I	251	130	<i>N. zadolicha</i>
Moraceae				
<i>Ficus carica</i> L.	I	120	50	<i>N. certa</i> (50.00), <i>N. zadolicha</i> (24.00), <i>N. glaberrima</i> (18.00), <i>N. bifida</i> (6.00), <i>N. cornuphallus</i> (2.00)
<i>Ficus</i> sp.	N	3	3	<i>N. certa</i> (33.33), <i>N. pendula</i> (33.33), <i>N. zadolicha</i> (33.33)
<i>Morus nigra</i> L.	I	4	2	<i>N. zadolicha</i>
Musaceae				
<i>Musa X paradisiaca</i> L. (cv. Nanica)	I	2	1	<i>N. zadolicha</i>
Myrtaceae				
<i>Eugenia brasiliensis</i> Lam.	N	1	0	<i>Neosilba</i> sp.
<i>Eugenia involucrata</i> DC.	N	31	13	<i>N. certa</i> (30.76), <i>N. pradoi</i> (23.08), <i>N. laura</i> (23.08), <i>N. pendula</i> (23.08)
<i>Eugenia leitonii</i> D. Legrand	N	17	5	<i>N. glaberrima</i> (60.00), <i>N. zadolicha</i> (40.00)
<i>Eugenia pyriformis</i> Cambess.	N	88	48	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (50.00), <i>N. certa</i> (39.58), <i>N. pendula</i> (6.26), <i>N. inesperata</i> (2.08), <i>N. laura</i> (2.08)
<i>Eugenia schomburgkii</i> Benth.	N	46	28	<i>N. pendula</i> (85.71), <i>N. inesperata</i> (7.15), <i>N. zadolicha</i> (3.57), <i>N. bella</i> (3.57)
<i>Eugenia uniflora</i> L.	N	120	70	<i>N. pendula</i> (95.71), <i>N. inesperata</i> (4.29)
<i>Myrciaria jaboticaba</i> (Vell.) O. Berg	N	10	2	<i>N. certa</i>
<i>Myrciaria glazioviana</i> (Kiaersk) G. M. Barroso & Sobral	N	19	10	<i>N. inesperata</i> (40.00), <i>N. pendula</i> (40.00), <i>N. certa</i> (20.00)
<i>Plinia edulis</i> (O. Berg) Sobral	N	5	4	<i>N. bifida</i>
<i>Psidium cattleianum</i> Sabine	N	40	24	<i>N. certa</i> (62.50), <i>N. pendula</i> (29.17), <i>N. bifida</i> (4.16), <i>N. dimidiata</i> (4.17)

Continue.....

Continue. Table 2. Host plants of Lonchaeidae species (Diptera: Tephritoidea) in the State of São Paulo, Brazil (Mar 1993 to Jun 2013).

Family/ Botanical name	Native (N) or Introduced (I)	Total number of lonchaeids	Total number of males	(comparative % of <i>Neosilba</i> males)
Myrtaceae				
<i>Psidium guajava</i> L.	N	507	271	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (47.23), <i>N. pendula</i> (24.72), <i>N. certa</i> (11.07), <i>N. glaberrima</i> (7.75), <i>N. bifida</i> (4.42), <i>N. cornuphallus</i> (1.85), <i>N. inesperata</i> (1.48), <i>N. bella</i> (0.74), <i>N. dimidiata</i> (0.74)
<i>Syzygium jambos</i> (L.) Alston	I	15	11	<i>N. pendula</i> (81.82), <i>N. zadolicha</i> (18.18)
<i>Syzygium samarangense</i> (Blume) Alston	I	23	14	<i>N. pendula</i> (92.86), <i>N. certa</i> (7.14)
Oxalidaceae				
<i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L.	I	89	47	<i>N. certa</i> (82.98), <i>N. inesperata</i> (8.51), <i>N. pendula</i> (6.38), <i>N. glaberrima</i> (2.13)
Passifloraceae				
<i>Passiflora alata</i> Curtis	N	964	489	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (96.72), <i>N. glaberrima</i> (2.26), <i>N. certa</i> (1.02), <i>Lonchaea</i> sp.
<i>Passiflora edulis</i> Sims	N	1,294	373	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (98.66), <i>N. certa</i> (1.07), <i>N. inesperata</i> (0.27), <i>Lonchaea</i> sp., <i>Dasiops inedulis</i> Steyskal, <i>Dasiops frieseni</i> Norrbom & McAlpine
Rhamnaceae				
<i>Zizyphus joazeiro</i> Mart.	N	1	1	<i>N. pendula</i>
Rosaceae				
<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i> (Thunb.) Lindl.	I	1,177	588	<i>N. pendula</i> (52.87), <i>N. certa</i> (24.16), <i>N. zadolicha</i> (16.05), <i>N. glaberrima</i> (3.54), <i>N. inesperata</i> (1.35), <i>N. bella</i> (1.18), <i>N. bifida</i> (0.34), <i>N. pradoi</i> (0.34), <i>N. cornuphallus</i> (0.17), <i>Lonchaea</i> sp.
<i>Malus X domestica</i> Borkh.	I	83	41	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (87.80), <i>N. certa</i> (7.32), <i>N. pendula</i> (4.88)
<i>Prunus mume</i> Siebold & Zucc.	I	21	8	<i>N. certa</i>
<i>Prunus persica</i> (L.) Batsch.	I	774	378	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (82.01), <i>N. certa</i> (11.91), <i>N. pendula</i> (4.77), <i>N. inesperata</i> (0.79), <i>N. glaberrima</i> (0.26), <i>N. bifida</i> (0.26), <i>Lonchaea</i> sp.
<i>Prunus salicina</i> Lindl.	I	4	3	<i>N. certa</i> , <i>N. pendula</i> , <i>N. inesperata</i>
<i>Pyrus communis</i> L.	I	1	1	<i>N. certa</i>
<i>Rubus</i> sp.	N	7	3	<i>N. zadolicha</i> , <i>N. pendula</i> , <i>N. certa</i>
Rubiaceae				
<i>Coffea</i> spp.	I	1,752	890	<i>N. pendula</i> (94.38), <i>N. zadolicha</i> (2.26), <i>N. inesperata</i> (2.04), <i>N. bella</i> (0.52), <i>N. certa</i> (0.20), <i>N. bifida</i> (0.10), <i>N. laura</i> (0.10), <i>Lonchaea</i> sp.
Rutaceae				
<i>Citrus aurantium</i> L.	I	19	12	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (91.67), <i>N. glaberrima</i> (8.33)

Continue.....

Continue. Table 2. Host plants of Lonchaeidae species (Diptera: Tephritoidea) in the State of São Paulo, Brazil (Mar 1993 to Jun 2013).

Family/ Botanical name	Native (N) or Introduced (I)	Total number of lonchaeids	Total number of males	(comparative % of <i>Neosilba</i> males)
<i>Citrus limonia</i> Osbeck	I	471	222	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (95.94), <i>N. glaberrima</i> (3.16), <i>N. pendula</i> (0.45), <i>N. certa</i> (0.45)
<i>Citrus mitis</i> Blanco	I	701	372	<i>N. pendula</i> (44.89), <i>N. glaberrima</i> (19.09), <i>N. zadolicha</i> (18.28), <i>N. certa</i> (15.85), <i>N. laura</i> (1.62), <i>N. inesperata</i> (0.27)
<i>Citrus reticulata</i> Blanco cv. Ponkan	I	22	12	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (58.33), <i>N. pendula</i> (25.00), <i>N. inesperata</i> (16.67)
<i>Citrus reticulata</i> Blanco cv. Cravo	I	160	87	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (93.10), <i>N. glaberrima</i> (4.60), <i>N. pradoi</i> (1.15), <i>N. parva</i> (1.15)
<i>Citrus reticulata</i> Blanco X <i>C. sinensis</i> (L.) Osbeck	I	55	33	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (93.94), <i>N. certa</i> (6.06)
<i>Citrus sinensis</i> (L.) Osbeck	I	518	359	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (65.91), <i>N. glaberrima</i> (25.00), <i>N. certa</i> (5.92), <i>N. pendula</i> (2.27), <i>N. inesperata</i> (0.45), <i>N. bifida</i> (0.45)
<i>Fortunella</i> sp.	I	60	40	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (75.00), <i>N. certa</i> (15.00), <i>N. pendula</i> (10.00)
Sapotaceae				
<i>Chrysophyllum cainito</i> L.	I	7	2	<i>N. zadolicha</i> , <i>N. pendula</i>
<i>Chrysophyllum mexicanum</i> Brandegee	I	6	3	<i>N. zadolicha</i>
<i>Manilkara zapota</i> (L.) P. Royen	I	12	9	<i>N. zadolicha</i>
<i>Mimusops commersonii</i> (G. Don) Engl.	I	13	5	<i>N. glaberrima</i> (60.00), <i>N. certa</i> (40.00)
<i>Pouteria caimito</i> (Ruiz & Pav.) Radlk.	N	78	36	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (61.11), <i>N. glaberrima</i> (19.44), <i>N. pendula</i> (11.11), <i>N. bella</i> (5.56), <i>N. certa</i> (2.78)
<i>Pouteria torta</i> (Mart.) Radlk.	N	59	26	<i>N. dimidiata</i> (84.62), <i>N. zadolicha</i> (7.68), <i>N. glaberrima</i> (3.85), <i>N. paramerolatus</i> (3.85)
Solanaceae				
<i>Capsicum</i> sp.	I	371	181	<i>N. glaberrima</i> (27.08), <i>N. certa</i> (26.52), <i>N. zadolicha</i> (20.44), <i>N. pendula</i> (17.68), <i>N. inesperata</i> (5.52), <i>N. laura</i> (2.76)
<i>Capsicum annuum</i> L.	I	2,271	1,156	<i>N. parva</i> (36.76), <i>N. zadolicha</i> (30.10), <i>N. certa</i> (17.65), <i>N. glaberrima</i> (10.12), <i>N. pendula</i> (0.78)
<i>Mandragora officinarum</i> L.	I	1	0	<i>Neosilba</i> sp.
<i>Solanum gilo</i> Raddi	I	3,556	1,878	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (65.02), <i>N. parva</i> (18.64), <i>N. certa</i> (8.73), <i>N. pendula</i> (3.66), <i>N. glaberrima</i> (3.09), <i>N. pradoi</i> (0.59), <i>N. inesperata</i> (0.27)
<i>Solanum lycopersicon</i> L..	I	2	2	<i>N. zadolicha</i>
<i>Solanum mammosum</i> L.	N	15	8	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (62.50), <i>N. certa</i> (25.00), <i>N. parva</i> (12.50)
<i>Solanum melongena</i> L	N	1,034	502	<i>N. zadolicha</i> (47.01), <i>N. parva</i> (43.03), <i>N. glaberrima</i> (9.96)
<i>Solanum variabile</i> Mart.	N	2	1	<i>N. inesperata</i>
Verbenaceae				
<i>Citharexylum myrianthum</i> Cham.	N	181	92	<i>N. pendula</i> (94.56), <i>N. cornuphalus</i> (3.26), <i>N. bifida</i> (1.09), <i>N. bella</i> (1.09)

Table 3. Seasonal occurrence of *Neosilba* species (Diptera: Tephritoidea) in the State of São Paulo, Brazil (Mar 1993 to Jun 2013).

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
<i>N. bella</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x					x
<i>N. bifida</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x					x
<i>N. certa</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
<i>N. cornuphallus</i>	x	x			x		x	x				
<i>N. dimidiata</i>	x	x	x									
<i>N. inesperata</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
<i>N. glaberrima</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
<i>N. laura</i>	x			x		x	x	x	x	x		x
<i>N. paramerolatus</i>	x											
<i>N. parva</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
<i>N. pradoi</i>		x		x	x	x		x				x
<i>N. pendula</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
<i>N. perezi</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
<i>N. zadolicha</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x

bella, *N. pradoi* and *N. cornuphallus* happened in loquats.

According to Strikis and Prado (2009), the adult of *N. inesperata* is very similar to *N. glaberrima* and it was described from specimens recovered from *E. japonica*. In the present study, the incidence of *N. inesperata* was very low in loquats (1.35 %), but it was meaningful in acerola *M. emarginata* (Malpighiaceae) and *Myrciaria glazioviana* (Kiaersk) G. M. Barroso & Sobral (Myrtaceae).

Except for *Citrus mitis* Blanco, in the other species of Rutaceae collected in the present study, *N. zadolicha* prevailed. This species was also predominant in species of *Solanum* (Solanaceae), in most fruit species of *Annona* (Annonaceae), and in passion fruit (*P. alata* and *P. edulis*). In flower buds of *P. alata* collected in the State of Rio de Janeiro (Brazil), Aguiar-Menezes et al. (2004) obtained *N. zadolicha*, *Neosilba* sp. and *Dasiops longulus* Norrbom & McAlpine. Those authors recovered only *D. inedulis* from flower buds of *P. edulis* f. *flavicarpa*, similar to those that Chacon and Rojas (1984) obtained in Colombia.

The citric fruits were infested by six species of *Neosilba*, predominantly *N. zadolicha*. The State of São Paulo has approximately 600,000 hectares of sweet oranges [*Citrus sinensis* (L.) Osbeck], with different varieties fruiting all year long. This fact may contribute to the dominance and constancy of *N. zadolicha*.

Species of *Coffea* showed seven species of *Neosilba* and *Lonchaea* sp., with dominance of *N. pendula*, which was also commonly found in samples of Myrtaceae (9 species), Rosaceae (5) and Rutaceae (5). According to Raga et al. (2002), Lonchaeidae corresponded to 10.8 % of the specimens of adult Tephritoidea recovered from fruits of *Coffea* spp. in the State of São Paulo. In the State of Rio de Janeiro, Souza et al. (2005) observed six species of *Neosilba* in coffee fruit, with greater incidence in systems of cultivation in the shade and dominance by *Neosilba turgidiphallus* Strikis and *N. certa*. From coffee berries collected from Minas Gerais state (Brazil), Camargos et al. (2011) recovered *N. pendula*, *N. zadolicha* and *N. inesperata*, with predominance of the first species.

Neosilba certa was recovered in seven species of Myrtaceae, particularly *Psidium cattleianum* Sabine and *Myrciaria jaboticaba* (Vell.) O. Berg., but it adapted to exotic species of Rosaceae and Rutaceae.

Considered related only to cassava shoots *Manihot esculenta* Crantz (Gisloti and Prado 2011), *N. perezi* is recorded for the first time in acerola fruits. Commercial cultivations of both species are widely distributed in the State of São Paulo. Three annual harvests are obtained in irrigated cultivations of acerola.

In this study, fruits of avocado were attacked by five species of *Neosilba*, with dominance of *N. zadolicha*. Although avocados are not infested by Tephritidae in the State of São Paulo (Raga et al. 2011), *Neosilba batesi* (Curran) infests this Lauraceae in Florida and Mexico (McAlpine and Steyskal 1982, Ahlmark and Steck 1997).

Neosilba parva was strongly associated to Solanaceae, particularly *Capsicum annum* L. According to McAlpine and Steyskal (1982), *N. parva* has grown in *Solanum* spp., but there is little knowledge about other hosts. This species has recently been recorded in avocados and citrus. In *Solanum hirtum* Vahl. collected from Venezuela, Valles et al. (2003) registered infestations by *Lonchaea* sp. and *N. pendula*.

The diversity of Lonchaeidae species and its relationship with host plants in the state of São Paulo show the importance of this group in the mosaic of frugivorous species in tropical and subtropical environments.

An effort is required to conduct taxonomic and bio-ecological studies on Lonchaeidae to determine the importance of this group in the total diversity of Tephritoidea, and its possible economic losses in horticulture.

References

- AGUIAR-MENEZES EL, NASCIMENTO RJ, MENEZES EB. 2004. Diversity of fly species (Diptera: Tephritoidea) from *Passiflora* spp. and their hymenopterous parasitoids in two municipalities of the Southeastern Brazil. *Neotropical Entomology* 33: 113-116.
- AGUIAR-MENEZES EL, SOUZA SAS, SANTOS CMA, RESENDE ALS, STRIKIS PC, COSTA JR, RICCI MSF. 2007. Susceptibilidade de seis cultivares de café arábica às moscas-das-frutas (Diptera: Tephritoidea) em sistema orgânico com e sem arborização em Valença, RJ. *Neotropical Entomology* 36: 268-273.
- AHLMARK K, STECK GJ. 1997. A new U.S. record for a secondary fruit infester, *Neosilba batesi* (Curran) (Diptera: Lonchaeidae). *Insect Mundi* 11: 116.
- ARAÚJO EL, ZUCCHI RA. 2002. Hospedeiros e níveis de infestação de *Neosilba pendula* (Bezzi) (Diptera: Lonchaeidae) na região de Mossoró/Assu, RN. *Arquivos do Instituto Biológico* 69: 91-94.
- BITTENCOURT MAL, SILVA ACM, BONFIM ZV, SILVA VES, ARAÚJO EL, STRIKIS PC. 2006. Novos registros e espécies de *Neosilba* (Diptera: Lonchaeidae) na Bahia. *Neotropical Entomology* 35: 282-283.
- CAIRES CS, UCHÔA MA, NICÁCIO J, STRIKIS PC. 2009. Frugivoria de larvas de *Neosilba* McAlpine (Diptera, Lonchaeidae) sobre *Psittacanthus plagiophyllus* (Santalales, Loranthaceae) no sudoeste de Mato grosso do Sul, Brasil. *Revista Brasileira de Entomologia* 53: 272-277.
- CAMARGOS MG, ALVARENGA CD, GIUSTOLIN TA, STRIKIS PC. 2011. Moscas frugívoras (Diptera: Lonchaeidae) em cafezais irrigados no norte de Minas Gerais. *Arquivos do Instituto Biológico* 78: 615-617.
- CHACON P, ROJAS M. 1984. Entomofauna asociada a *Passiflora molissima*, *P. edulis* f. *flavicarpa* y *P. quadrangularis* en el Departamento del Valle del Cauca. *Turrialba* 34: 297-311.
- ESTADO DE SÃO PAULO. 2007. Geografia do Estado de São Paulo. Biblioteca Virtual. São Paulo, 18 p. [Internet]. Available from: www.bibliotecavirtual.sp.gov.br/pdf/saopaulo-geografia.pdf.
- GARCIA FRM, NORRBOM AL. 2011. Tephritoid flies (Diptera, Tephritoidea) and their plant hosts from the state of Santa Catarina in southern Brazil. *Florida Entomologist* 94: 151-157.

- GISLOTI L, PRADO AP. 2011. Cassava shoot infestation by larvae of *Neosilba perezii* (Romero & Ruppel) (Diptera: Lonchaeidae) in São Paulo State, Brazil. *Neotropical Entomology* 40: 312-315.
- KORYTKOWSKI CA, OJEDA D. 1971. Revision de las espécies de la familia Lonchaeidae en el Perú (Diptera: Acalyptratae). *Revista Peruana de Entomología* 14: 87-116.
- [EDIT] EUROPEAN DISTRIBUTED INSTITUTE OF TAXONOMY. 2013. Lonchaeidae on line. [Internet]. Available from: <http://lonchaeidae.myspecies.info/>.
- LOPES EB, BATISTA JL, ALBUQUERQUE IC, BRITO CH. 2007. Moscas frugívoras (Tephritidae e Lonchaeidae): ocorrência em pomares comerciais de tangerina (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco) do município de Matinhos, Estado da Paraíba. *Acta Scientia Agronomica* 30: 639-644.
- LOURENÇAO AL, LORENZI JO, AMBROSANO GMB. 1996. Comportamento de clones de mandioca em relação a infestação por *Neosilba perezii* (Romero & Ruppel) (Diptera: Lonchaeidae). *Scientia Agricola* 53: 304-308.
- MACGOWAN I, FREIDBERG A. 2008. The Lonchaeidae (Diptera) of Israel, with descriptions of three new species. *Israel Journal of Entomology* 38: 61-92.
- MACGOWAN I, OKAMOTO T. 2013. New species of Lonchaeidae (Diptera: Schizophora) from Japan and a re-evaluation of genus *Setisquamalonchaea* Morge. *Entomological Science* 16: 196-202.
- MCAFALINE JF. 1961. A new species of *Dasiops* (Diptera: Lonchaeidae) injurious to apricots. *Canadian Entomologist* 93: 539-544.
- MCAFALINE JF. 1987. Lonchaeidae. In: MCAFALINE JF (ed.), In Manual of Nearctic Diptera, v. 2, pp.791-797. Agriculture of Canada, Ontario.
- MCAFALINE JF, STEYSKAL GC. 1982. A revision of *Neosilba* McAlpine with a key to the world genera of Lonchaeidae (Diptera). *Canadian Entomologist* 114: 105-137.
- PITKIN BR. 1996. Family Lonchaeidae. In: PITKIN BR (eds.). Catalog of the Diptera of the Australasian and Oceanic regions. Bishop Museum Press, Hawaii. pp. 476-478.
- RAGA A, PRESTES DAO, SOUZA FILHO, MF, SATO ME, SILOTO RC, ZUCCHI RA. 2002. Occurrence of fruit flies in coffee varieties in the state of São Paulo, Brazil. *Boletin Sanidad Vegetal y Plagas* 28: 519-524.
- RAGA A, MACHADO RA, DINARDO W, STRIKIS PC. 2006. Eficácia de atrativos alimentares na captura de mosca-das-frutas em pomar de citrus. *Bragantia* 65: 337-345.
- RAGA A, SOUZA-FILHO MF, MACHADO RA, SATO ME, SILOTO RC. 2011. Host Ranges and infestation indices of fruit flies (Tephritidae) and lance flies (Lonchaeidae) in São Paulo State, Brazil. *Florida Entomologist* 94: 787-794.
- SANTOS WS, CARVALHO CAL, MARQUES OM. 2004. Registro de *Neosilba zadolicha* McAlpine & Steyskal (Diptera: Lonchaeidae) em umbu-cajá (Anacardiaceae). *Neotropical Entomology* 33: 653-654.
- SILVA FF, MEIRELLES RN, REDAELLI LR, SOGLIO FK. 2006. Diversity of flies (Diptera: Tephritidae and Lonchaeidae) in organic citrus orchards in the Vale do Rio Cai, Rio Grande do Sul, Southern Brazil. *Neotropical Entomology* 31: 515-524.
- SOUZA ASS, RESENDE ALS, STRIKIS PC, COSTA JR, RICCI MSF, AGUIAR-MENEZES EL. 2005. Infestação natural de moscas frugívoras (Diptera: Tephritoidea) em café arábica, sob cultivo orgânico arborizado e a pleno sol, em Valença, RJ. *Neotropical Entomology* 34: 639-648.
- SOUZA-FILHO MF, RAGA A, AZEVEDO-FILHO JA, STRIKIS PC, GUIMARÃES JA, ZUCCHI RA. 2009. Diversity and seasonality of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae and Lonchaeidae) and their parasitoids (Hymenoptera: Braconidae and Figitidae) in orchards of guava, loquat and peach. *Brazilian Journal of Biology* 69: 31-40.
- STRIKIS PC, PRADO AP. 2005. A new species of the genus *Neosilba* (Diptera: Lonchaeidae). *Zootaxa* 828: 1-4.
- STRIKIS PC, PRADO AP. 2006. *Neosilba* (Tephritoidea: Lonchaeidae) species reared from coffee in Brazil, with description of a new species. Proceedings of the 7th International Symposium on Fruit Flies of Economic Importance. pp. 187-193.
- STRIKIS PC, PRADO AP. 2009. Lonchaeidae associados a frutos de nêspera, *Eryobotria japonica* (Thunb.) Lindley (Rosaceae), com a descrição de uma nova espécie de *Neosilba* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Arquivos do Instituto Biológico* 76: 49-54.
- STRIKIS PC, LERENA MLM. 2009. A new species of *Neosilba* (Diptera, Lonchaeidae) from Brazil. *Iheringia, Serie Zoologica* 99: 273-275.

- UCHÔA MA, OLIVEIRA I, MOLINA RMS, ZUCCHI RA. 2002. Species diversity of frugivorous flies (Diptera: Tephritoidea) from hosts in the Cerrado of the State of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil. *Neotropical Entomology* 31: 515-524.
- UCHÔA MA, NICÁCIO J. 2010. New records of neotropical fruit flies (Tephritidae), lance flies (Lonchaeidae) (Diptera: Tephritoidea), and their host plants in the South Pantanal and adjacent areas, Brazil. *Annals of Entomological Society of America* 103: 723-733.
- VALLES PM, CERMELI M, GODOY F, SALAS B. 2003. Lista de insectos relacionados a las solanáceas ubicados en el Museo de Insectos de Interés Agrícola del CENIAP- INIA. *Entomotropica* 18: 193-209.

Recibido: 19-05-2014.
Aceptado: 04-11-2014.