

# Zooplankton Abundance, Biomass and Trophic State in Some Venezuelan Reservoirs

Ernesto J. González, María L. Matos,  
Carlos Peñaherrera and Sandra Merayo  
*Universidad Central de Venezuela, Instituto de Biología Experimental, Caracas,  
Venezuela*

## 1. Introduction

The zooplankton community in freshwater bodies is composed principally of protozoa (flagellates and ciliates; from just a few to hundreds of micrometres), rotifers (from 30 $\mu$ m to 1mm) and crustaceans (copepods and cladocerans, some hundreds of  $\mu$ m up to 1cm), as well as insect larvae (such as *Chaoborus*), freshwater jellyfish (*Craspedacusta*), ostracods (*Cypria*), aquatic mites (Hydracarina), fish larvae and even trematode cercariae (Infante, 1988; Lampert & Sommer, 1997; Rocha et al., 1999; Conde-Porcuna et al., 2004). This community represents a vital component in the food web of aquatic ecosystems (López et al., 2001). Especially in dammed rivers, information on the zooplankton community is important for the analysis of the functioning of these ecosystems and for the establishment of management policies for water use.

The density of zooplankton, expressed as the number of organisms per unit of area or volumen, does not necessarily provide exact information about the actual biomass of this community, since this consists of a huge variety of taxa with a wide size range (Matsumura-Tundisi et al., 1989). Zooplankton biomass is also an important and necessary parameter for calculating the secondary production of this community (Melão & Rocha, 2004). Thus, the estimation of the dry weight of zooplankton species is a more useful variable for the study of trophic structure in aquatic ecosystems than density, especially considering its relationship with the trophic states of the water bodies (Rocha et al., 1995).

In Venezuela, there is little data on the dry weight of zooplankton or their biomass (González et al., 2008). Although this country has over 100 operating reservoirs (MINAMB, 2007), information on the ecological aspects of zooplankton is only available for about 20% (López et al., 2001). In this study we aimed to establish the relationships between the abundance and biomass of the zooplankton with phytoplankton biomass (estimated as chlorophyll *a*) and the trophic states of reservoirs, using data collected from 13 of these water bodies.

## 2. Study areas

We collected plankton samples from the following reservoirs, distributed in the northeastern and north central regions of Venezuela: 1) Agua Fría, 2) Taguaza, 3) Lagartijo, 4) Clavellinos, 5) Tierra Blanca, 6) El Pueblito, 7) El Cigarrón, 8) El Cují, 9) El Andino, 10) La Mariposa, 11) La Pereza, 12) Quebrada Seca and 13) Suata (Figure 1).




Fig. 1. Map of Venezuela, showing the relative locations of the reservoirs studied. For reservoir names, see numbers in text.

Some of the main morphometric features of the reservoirs surveyed are shown in Table 1.

Reservoir	Mean depth (m)	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Residence time (d)	Location
Agua Fría	13.2	440,000	5,800,000	38	10°23' N - 67°10' W
Taguaza	20.6	6,490,000	134,000,000	40	10°10' N - 66°26' W
Lagartijo	17.7	4,510,000	80,000,000	243	10°11' N - 66°43' W
Clavellinos	12.5	10,500,000	131,000,000	106	10°21' N - 63°36' W
Tierra Blanca	12.5	400,000	5,000,000	144	9°58' N - 67°25' W
El Pueblito	6.4	49,500,000	315,000,000	152	9°12' N - 65°34' W
El Cigarrón	4.9	50,500,000	246,000,000	158	9°12' N - 65°40' W
El Cují	3.9	12,720,000	49,310,000	375	9°37' N - 65°14' W
El Andino	7.9	1,780,000	14,000,000	167	9°32' N - 65°09' W
La Mariposa	13.0	540,000	7,000,000	12	10°24' N - 66°33' W
La Pereza	14.2	562,500	8,000,000	12	10°27' N - 66°46' W
Quebrada Seca	7.9	950,000	7,500,000	17	10°13' N - 66°43' W
Suata	5.1	8,498,00	43,540,000	84	10°12' N - 67°23' W

Table 1. Mean morphometric features of the studied reservoirs.

### 3. Methods

The data analyzed was taken from the results of 6-12 monthly sampling periods at each reservoir. Samples for estimating phytoplankton biomass (as chlorophyll *a*) were collected using an opaque van Dorn bottle (3 – 5 liters) from the euphotic layer of reservoirs and preserved in cold and dark conditions until their analysis in the laboratory. Chlorophyll *a* concentration was estimated by extraction of the photosynthetic pigments with ethanol after filtering with Whatman glass-fiber filters (Nusch & Palme, 1975). Zooplankton samples were obtained from the limnetic zone of the water bodies using vertical trawls in the oxygenated strata with a plankton tow net (77 $\mu$ m mesh). Samples were preserved in 4% formaldehyde (final concentration). Abundance was determined by counting animals in Sedgwick-Rafter chambers (1ml), according to Wetzel & Likens (2000) and biomass was estimated as dry weight (d.w.) after desiccation at 60°C for about 20-24 h, according to Edmondson & Winberg (1971). Parametric correlations were determined using the PAST program (Hammer et al., 2001).

### 4. Results

#### 4.1 Description of reservoirs and phytoplankton biomass

- Agua Fría (AFR): Located within a protected area (Macarao National Park, Miranda State). Used to supply drinking water to the city of Los Teques (population approximately 172,000). This reservoir shows low nutrient concentrations, but the water level has declined over the years due to the increase in the demand for drinking water. Meromictic with a tendency to warm monomictic, following Lewis' (1983) criteria; shows hypolimnetic anoxia during the rainy season (González et al., 2004).
- Taguaza (TAG): Located within a protected area (Guatopo National Park, Miranda State). Used to supply drinking water to areas surrounding the city of Caracas (population approximately 4 million). Shows low nutrient concentrations. Meromictic with a tendency to warm monomictic and with permanent hypolimnetic anoxia (González et al., 2002).
- Lagartijo (LAG): Located within a protected area (Guatopo National Park, Miranda State). Used to supply drinking water to the city of Caracas (population approximately 4 million). Shows low nutrient concentrations, but due to the increasing demand for water by the metropolitan area of Caracas, water is pumped to the reservoir from the Tuy river (a highly contaminated river) after sedimentation and chlorination, although this pumped water only affects a small part of the water body. Meromictic with a tendency to warm monomictic and with nearly permanent hypolimnetic anoxia (Infante et al., 1992; Infante & O. Infante, 1994; Ortaz et al., 1999).
- Clavellinos (CLA): Located in Sucre State and used to supply drinking water to the town of Carúpano and Nueva Esparta State (population 512,366) as well as for irrigation. High nitrate concentrations were detected in its waters, possibly from the use of fertilizers on the surrounding land. Warm monomictic; shows anoxic conditions in the hypolimnion during the rainy season (Merayo & González, 2010).
- Tierra Blanca (TBL): Situated in Guárico State and used to supply drinking water to the city of San Juan de Los Morros (population 85,000); it is also used for recreational purposes. Its drainage basin is partially protected, although this is limited by free public

access. Its water level fluctuates strongly due to demand. Meromictic with a tendency to warm monomictic and with nearly permanent hypolimnetic anoxia (González, 2006).

- El Pueblito (EPU): Located in Guárico State and used for flood control, subsistence agriculture, irrigation and recreation. Shows moderate nutrient concentrations. Classified as warm monomictic according to the criteria of Hutchinson (1957) and Lewis (1983), with hypolimnetic anoxia during the rainy season (González, 2000a).
- El Cigarrón (ECI): Located in Guárico State and used for flood control, subsistence agriculture and irrigation. Shows high nutrient concentrations due to the use of fertilizers in the surrounding areas. Warm monomictic; with hypolimnetic anoxia during the rainy season (Unpublished data).
- El Andino (EAN): Located in Anzoátegui State. Used for subsistence agriculture and irrigation. Shows moderate nutrient concentrations due to the use of fertilizers in the surrounding areas. Warm monomictic; with hypolimnetic anoxia during the rainy season (Infante et al., 1995; González, 2000b).
- El Cují (ECU): Situated in Anzoátegui State and used for the supply of drinking water to the towns of Onoto and Zaraza, as well as for flood control and irrigation. Warm monomictic; with hypolimnetic hypoxia and anoxia during the rainy season (Infante et al., 1995).
- La Mariposa (LMA): This is an urban reservoir, located 8 km from the city of Caracas (population approximately 4 million) and used to supply drinking water as well as for recreation. The catchment area is highly intervened and its waters show high nutrient concentrations, which has recently produced excessive growth of the macrophyte *Eichhornia crassipes*. In spite of low residence time, its waters show thermal stratification during the rainy season, when hypoxic conditions may also be detected in the hypolimnion (Ortiz et al., 1999).
- La Perea (LPE): Located in Miranda State and used for recreational purposes and the supply of drinking water to areas surrounding Caracas (population approximately 4 million). Its waters show high nutrient concentrations, which come from nearby pig and chicken farms, as well as waste waters from a galvanized steel factory. Warm monomictic; with anoxic conditions in the hypolimnion during the rainy season (Ortiz et al., 1999).
- Quebrada Seca (QSE): Located in Miranda State and used for purifying untreated water from the Tuy river before pre treating and pumping it to the Lagartijo reservoir, from which it is used to supply drinking water to Caracas. Its catchment area is highly intervened, with surrounding rural communities that discharge their wastewaters directly into the reservoir. It mixes only once a year (warm monomictic) and shows hypolimnetic anoxia during the rainy season (Ortiz et al., 1999).
- Suata (SUA): Located in Aragua State and used to supply water for subsistence agriculture and cattle ranching. This reservoir is fed by the Aragua river which collects the wastewaters of several populations along its course that are then deposited into the reservoir without prior treatment. It is polymictic, due to the shallowness of its waters (González et al., 2009).

The reservoirs represent a gradient of different trophic states, from ultra-oligotrophic (Agua Fría and Taguaza) to hypertrophic (Quebrada Seca, La Mariposa and Suata), according to their total phosphorus concentration following Salas & Martínó (1991), and determined by the authors cited for each reservoir description. Phytoplankton biomass, estimated as the

concentration of chlorophyll *a* in the euphotic zone of each water body, also reflects the trophic state of the reservoirs (Table 2). The mean values of both total phosphorus and chlorophyll *a* for the euphotic zone of these reservoirs varied between 4 and more than 1500  $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$  and between 2.16 and 92.89  $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ , respectively, for Agua Fría (the most oligotrophic) and Suata (the most eutrophicated) reservoirs.

#### 4.2 Zooplankton abundance and biomass

The variation intervals of the abundance and biomass of the zooplankton for each of the reservoirs surveyed are shown in Table 3. The dominant zooplankton taxa for each water body are also specified.

Copepods were the dominant group in 8 of the 13 reservoirs sampled (Agua Fría, Taguaza, Lagartijo, Clavellinos, El Pueblito, El Cigarrón, El Cují and La Mariposa) and second in numeric abundance in the El Andino reservoir, where rotifers were the most dominant. Ostracods dominated in the Tierra Blanca and Suata reservoirs and protozoa showed the highest relative abundances in La Pereza and Quebrada Seca. The relative proportions of the different zooplankton taxa are shown in Figure 2. It can be appreciated that copepods were the dominant group in all of the ultra-oligotrophic and oligotrophic reservoirs, but as the trophic state of the water bodies increased other taxa became more abundant.

Reservoir	Total P ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ )	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ )	Trophic state
Agua Fría	6.57	2.27	Ultra-oligotrophic
Taguaza	8.63	4.67	Ultra-oligotrophic
Lagartijo	17.08	5.78	Oligotrophic
Clavellinos	9.60	15.41	Oligotrophic
Tierra Blanca	23.11	11.66	Oligo-mesotrophic
El Pueblito	21.31	8.46	Oligotrophic
El Cigarrón	37.21	6.71	Mesotrophic
El Cují	23.58	11.05	Oligo-mesotrophic
El Andino	25.60	26.10	Mesotrophic
La Mariposa	136.83	41.92	Hypertrophic
La Pereza	94.64	44.36	Eutrophic
Quebrada Seca	121.25	62.71	Hypertrophic
Suata	1616,43	92.89	Hypertrophic

Table 2. Mean values of total P, chlorophyll *a* and trophic state in the studied reservoirs.

It can be observed that in general, as the trophic state of the reservoir increases, the mean abundances of the zooplankton also seem to increase. This can be seen from Figure 3, where the abundance and biomass of the zooplankton were ordered according to the mean concentrations of chlorophyll *a* in the water bodies. Thus, the lowest phytoplankton biomass values (as chlorophyll *a*) and the lowest abundance and biomass values of the zooplankton are found in the ultra-oligotrophic reservoirs (24 individuals/l and 48.51  $\mu\text{g d.w.}/\text{l}$  in Agua Fría, and 86 individuals/l and 28.71  $\mu\text{g d.w.}/\text{l}$  in Taguaza), whilst the highest




Fig. 2. Relative proportion of zooplankton groups in the studied reservoirs. AFR: Agua Fría, TAG: Taguaza, LAG: Lagartijo, CLA: Clavellinos, TBL: Tierra Blanca, EPU: El Pueblito, ECI: El Cigarrón, ECU: El Cují, EAN: El Andino, LMA: La Mariposa, LPE: La Perezza, QSE: Quebrada Seca, SUA: Suata.

phytoplankton biomass values and the highest mean abundance and biomass values of the zooplankton are found in the hypertrophic reservoirs (1130 individuals/l and 1127.26  $\mu\text{g}$  d.w./l in Quebrada Seca, and 753 individuals/l and 2026.14  $\mu\text{g}$  d.w./l in Suata).

Given the associations found between the phytoplankton and zooplankton, we explored the relationships between phytoplankton biomass, zooplankton abundance and zooplankton biomass in greater detail using a further set of graphs: 1) Chlorophyll *a* vs zooplankton abundance (Figure 4), 2) chlorophyll *a* vs zooplankton biomass (Figure 5) and 3) zooplankton abundance vs zooplankton biomass (Figure 6). The relationships between these parameters are presented using both the raw and logarithmically transformed data, in order to see which gives a better fit.

From Figure 4 we can see that there is a good fit between the mean chlorophyll *a* values of the water bodies and the mean abundance of the zooplankton, either when the raw data were used (Figure 4a) or after logarithmic transformation (Figure 4b). In both cases the relationship best fitted to a straight line, and the linear regression coefficients were higher than 0.60 and statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ).

Figure 5 shows another good fit, this time between the mean chlorophyll *a* values and mean zooplankton biomass, either when using the raw (Figure 5a) or logarithmically transformed (Figure 5b) data. In both cases, as for the relationship between phytoplankton biomass and zooplankton abundance, the association was linear; although the linear regression coefficients were lower, they remained statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ).

Figure 6 shows that the relationship between the abundance and biomass of the zooplankton can also be described linearly, both with the raw (Figure 6a) and logarithmically transformed (Figure 6b) data.

Reservoir	Abundance (Ind./l) Min. - Max. (Mean $\pm$ S.D.)	Biomass ( $\mu\text{g/l}$ ) Min. - Max. (Mean $\pm$ S.D.)	Dominant zooplankton group
Agua Fría	9.68 - 39.41 (23.91 $\pm$ 8.98)	11.56 - 123.44 (48.51 $\pm$ 32.08)	Copepods
Taguaza	43.86 - 150.00 (85.58 $\pm$ 29.79)	3.82 - 55.03 (28.71 $\pm$ 15.91)	Copepods
Lagartijo	34.00 - 373.00 (155.64 $\pm$ 128.34)	82.43 - 863.78 (251.31 $\pm$ 218.53)	Copepods + Rotifers
Clavellinos	30.48 - 99.94 (61.84 $\pm$ 22.33)	97.40 - 1406.29 (504.28 $\pm$ 351.84)	Copepods
Tierra Blanca	131.80 - 688.67 (309.16 $\pm$ 187.14)	100.08 - 2307.10 (607.21 $\pm$ 571.54)	Ostracods
El Pueblito	73.00 - 218.00 (123.17 $\pm$ 41.17)	69.80 - 228.10 (127.25 $\pm$ 49.77)	Copepods
El Cigarrón	35.00 - 272.00 (130.00 $\pm$ 69.66)	40.00 - 360.00 (164.67 $\pm$ 103.86)	Copepods
El Cují	125.50 - 330.60 (228.05 $\pm$ 145.03)	141.37 - 1643.14 (1092.40 $\pm$ 546.93)	Copepods
El Andino	8.80 - 616.40 (287.89 $\pm$ 201.36)	402.98 - 634.67 (381.72 $\pm$ 169.46)	Rotifers + Copepods
La Mariposa	111.00 - 669.00 (423.33 $\pm$ 182.13)	154.83 - 1297.77 (787.42 $\pm$ 355.74)	Copepods
La Pereza	32.00 - 643.00 (278.40 $\pm$ 262.17)	20.09 - 184.18 (121.77 $\pm$ 79.50)	Protozoans
Quebrada Seca	98.00 - 2472.00 (1129.80 $\pm$ 871.30)	259.46 - 1833.49 (1127.26 $\pm$ 710.50)	Protozoans
Suata	133.76 - 2518.47 (752.93 $\pm$ 678.60)	305.73 - 13853.50 (2026.14 $\pm$ 3757.81)	Ostracods

Table 3. Zooplankton abundance, biomass and dominant groups in the studied reservoirs.




Fig. 3. Mean values of chlorophyll *a*, zooplankton abundance and biomass in the studied reservoirs. AFR: Agua Fria, TAG: Taguaza, LAG: Lagartijo, CLA: Clavellinos, TBL: Tierra Blanca, EPU: El Pueblito, ECI: El Cigarrón, ECU: El Cuji, EAN: El Andino, LMA: La Mariposa, LPE: La Pereza, QSE: Quebrada Seca, SUA: Suata.






Fig. 4. Relationship between chlorophyll *a* and zooplankton abundance: a) Raw data, b) logarithmically transformed data. For reservoir names, see Figures 2 & 3.




Fig. 5. Relationship between chlorophyll  $a$  and zooplankton biomass: a) Raw data, b) logarithmically transformed data. For reservoir names, see Figures 2 & 3.




Fig. 6. Relationship between zooplankton abundance and biomass: a) Raw data, b) logarithmically transformed data. For reservoir names, see Figures 2 & 3.

As for the associations shown in Figures 4 and 5, the linear regression coefficients for zooplankton abundance vs biomass were also statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) and higher than 0.51.

The linear correlation coefficients ( $r$ ) between these variables were also calculated and were also statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ), as was to be expected from the linear regressions obtained:

- Chlorophyll *a* vs. zooplankton abundance;  $r = 0.778$ .
- Chlorophyll *a* vs. zooplankton biomass;  $r = 0.718$ .
- Zooplankton abundance vs. zooplankton biomass;  $r = 0.751$ .

## 5. Discussion and final considerations

The majority of the reservoirs included in this study show a tight linear relationship between total phosphorus and the concentration of chlorophyll *a*; thus these variables are good predictors of their trophic state (González, 2008; González & Quirós, submitted). Reservoirs whose drainage basins are protected or in areas with low anthropogenic impact show the lowest total phosphorus and chlorophyll values, whilst those found in degraded catchment areas give the highest values.

As regards the zooplankton, Matsumura-Tundisi (1997) suggests that an understanding of the population dynamics of the different groups constitutes a useful tool for the management of reservoirs, since the composition, abundance and spatial distribution of the zooplankton communities are strongly related to their trophic state and the degree of biological interactions that occur within them, and that furthermore, the prevalence of certain species could indicate of the trophic state of the ecosystem.

According to Esteves (1998), an increase in phytoplankton primary production due to eutrophication has immediate effects on heterotrophic organisms, considerably increasing their production. As for phytoplankton, the specific composition of zooplankton and the relative density of each species changes with eutrophication (Esteves, 1998; Pinto-Coelho et al., 2005; Leitão et al., 2006; Landa et al., 2007; Tundisi et al., 2008). Thus many species either reduce in abundance or disappear completely, and are substituted by others that take over as the dominant zooplankton taxa. For example, Infante & Riehl (1984) suggested that pelagic cladocerans, such as *Ceriodaphnia cornuta*, *Diaphanosoma* sp. and *Moina micrura*, may be more susceptible to the proliferation of cyanobacteria than copepods and rotifers in highly eutrophicated systems. In most cases, cyanobacteria negatively affect zooplankton (Zhao et al., 2008).

As far as we are aware this is the first comparative analysis of the relationships between the abundance and biomass of the zooplankton and phytoplankton biomass in reservoirs with different trophic states in Venezuela that takes into account the mean annual cycles of these three variables. Several previous studies only consider fluctuations in the abundance and biomass of zooplankton with respect to physicochemical changes and phytoplankton abundance and biomass (Infante, 1993; Infante et al., 1995; Mendoza, 1999; Carrillo, 2001; González et al., 2002; Gavidia, 2004; González, 2006; Cabrera, 2009; Merayo & González, 2010). In some of these investigations, statistically significant correlations between phytoplankton and zooplankton were not found, especially in eutrophic systems, where links between the two communities may be weakened by the proliferation of microalgae that are not the preferred food of zooplankton (McQueen et al., 1986). In these cases, zooplankton dynamics were registered as being principally determined by environmental

fluctuations, although some of the abundance and biomass peaks coincided with peaks of chlorophyll *a* concentrations. In contrast, in several oligotrophic systems, such as the Agua Fria and Taguaza reservoirs in this study (González et al., 2002; González, 2006) and the Jucazinho reservoir in Brazil (Mélo-Júnior et al. 2007), significant correlations between phyto- and zooplankton have been reported.

From the analyses done in this study, it seems common that in water bodies with a higher degree of eutrophication, zooplankton abundance and biomass are higher compared to oligotrophic reservoirs. This relationship has been reported in other comparative studies of these variables in water bodies with contrasting trophic states in both Venezuela and Brazil (González et al., 2002; González, 2006; Sendacz et al., 2006; Blettler & Bonecker, 2007), the only countries in which these types of investigations have been done within the South American tropics (González et al., 2008).

From this study it can be observed that the association between phytoplankton biomass and the abundance and biomass of the zooplankton is not perfect (see Fig. 3). The explanation for this is indicated by Fig. 2, however, which gives the relative proportions of the different zooplankton groups, as well as the information given in Tables 2 and 3.

As has already been mentioned, copepods dominate in the oligotrophic environments considered in this study, but as the trophic state increases, the relative abundances of other groups also increase. Thus, the lack of association between the variables could be due to the dominance of zooplankton taxa with small sized species, which contribute little in terms of weight to the total zooplankton biomass. In contrast, copepods contribute more to total zooplankton biomass in many fresh water bodies due to their larger sizes and heavier dry weights (Infante, 1993; Infante et al., 1995; Castilho-Noll & Arcifa, 2007; González et al, 2008; Merayo & González, 2010).

Sendacz et al. (2006) affirm that rotifers tend to dominate zooplankton communities in tropical and sub-tropical lakes and reservoirs, independently of their trophic state, but due to their small size and light weight, often contribute little to total zooplankton biomass. This could explain the lack of a perfect association between zooplankton abundance and biomass in the Venezuelan reservoirs studied.

In contrast to that indicated by Sendacz et al. (2006), the zooplankton community in most Venezuelan reservoirs seems to be dominated by copepods (López et al., 2001). This agrees with our results where copepods were the dominant group in 8 out of the 13 Venezuelan reservoirs studied. This could be promoted by high water residence times that favor species with relatively long development cycles (Santos-Wisniewski & Rocha, 2007). The dominance by groups other than copepods in Venezuelan systems could be related to factors such as temperature, the quantity and quality of available food, species genotypes, climatic periods and differences in habitat conditions, among others (Gavidia, 2004; Sendacz et al., 2006; Mustapha, 2009; Merayo & González, 2010).

In spite of the lack of a perfect fit between phytoplankton biomass and the abundance and biomass of zooplankton, strong linear relationships between the annual means were found. Thus, in the same way as for the strong linear relationships found between nutrients and phytoplankton biomass in Venezuelan reservoirs (González, 2008; González & Quirós, submitted), a strong linear association was also found between zooplankton abundance and biomass, between each of these and phytoplankton biomass (estimated as chlorophyll *a*), and between all these variables and the trophic state of the reservoirs.

Due to the fact that zooplankton dynamics are associated with the effects of anthropogenic activities in the drainage basins of these fresh water bodies (Infante, 1993), the identification of the dominant taxa (composition), and estimates of their abundance and biomass provide us with valuable tools for the determination of the trophic state, and thus should be taken into account when designing policies for the adequate management of reservoirs in Venezuela.

## 6. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Organization of American States, Fondo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (Project S1-98-1361), Consejo de Desarrollo Científico y Humanístico – UCV (Projects 03.33.4728.2000 and 03.00.6495.2006), Hydrological Companies HIDROVEN, HIDROCAPITAL, HIDROCARIBE and HIDROPAEZ, Ministerio del Ambiente for logistic and financial support. We also thank Mario Ortaz for field and laboratory assistance and discussions on zooplankton. We would also like to thank the Coordinación de Investigación, Ciencias – UCV and Frances Osborn who helped with the translation of the manuscript into English.

## 7. References

- Blettler, M.C.M. & Bonecker, C.C. (2007). Longitudinal distributions of microcrustacean biomass in three tropical reservoirs (Paraná State, Brazil). *Acta Scientiarum - Biological Sciences*, Vol. 29, No. 3 (September 2007), pp. 293-304. ISSN 1679-9283.
- Cabrera, A. (2009). Variaciones espaciales y temporales de la comunidad zooplanctónica en dos localidades del embalse Suata (Estado Aragua, Venezuela). Universidad Central de Venezuela, *Thesis*, Caracas.
- Carrillo, V. (2001). Distribución espacial del zooplancton en el embalse La Mariposa (Distrito Capital) de julio a diciembre de 2000. Universidad Central de Venezuela, *Thesis*, Caracas.
- Castilho-Noll, M.S.M. & Arcifa, M.S. (2007). Length-weight relationships for zooplanktonic species of a tropical Brazilian lake: Lake Monte Alegre. *Acta Limnologica Brasiliensia*, Vol. 19, No.1 (June, 2007), pp. 93-100. ISSN 0102-6712.
- Conde-Porcuna, J.M., Ramos-Rodríguez, E., & Morales-Baquero, R. (2004). El zooplancton como integrante en la estructura trófica de los sistemas acuáticos lénticos. *Ecosistemas*, Vol. 13, No. 2 (May 2004), pp. 23-29. ISSN 1697-2473.
- Edmondson, W.T. & Winberg, G.G. (1971). *A manual of methods for the measurement of secondary productivity in fresh waters*. International Biological Programme Handbook N° 17, ISBN 0-632-00610-1, Oxford.
- Esteves, F.A. (1998). *Fundamentos de Limnología* (2<sup>nd</sup> edition), Editorial Interciencia, ISBN 85-7193-008-2, Rio de Janeiro.
- Gavidia, J. (2004). Variaciones de abundancia y biomasa del zooplancton en dos localidades del embalse Tierra Blanca (Edo. Guárico, Venezuela). Universidad Central de Venezuela, *Thesis*, Caracas.
- González, E.J. (2000a). Caracterización limnológica del embalse El Pueblito (Estado Guárico, Venezuela). Trabajo de Ascenso a la Categoría de Profesor Agregado. Universidad Central de Venezuela, *Monograph*, Caracas.

- González, E.J. (2000b). Nutrient enrichment and zooplankton effects on the phytoplankton community in microcosms from El Andino reservoir (Venezuela). *Hydrobiologia*, Vol. 434, No. 1 (September 2000), pp. 81-96. ISSN 0018-8158.
- González, E.J. (2006). Caracterización limnológica de los embalses Agua Fría (Estado Miranda) y Tierra Blanca (Estado Guárico). Universidad Central de Venezuela, *Technical Report*, Proyecto S1 - 98001361, Caracas.
- González, E.J. (2008). Eutrofización de embalses en Venezuela. *Memorias del Instituto de Biología Experimental*, Vol. 5, No. 1 (May 2008), pp. 169-172. ISSN 0034-7507.
- González, E.J. & Quirós, R. (Submitted). Eutrophication of reservoirs in Venezuela: Relationships between nitrogen, phosphorus and phytoplankton biomass. *Oecologia Australis*.
- González, E.J., Matsumura-Tundisi, T., & Tundisi, J.G. (2008). Size and dry weight of main zooplankton species in Bariri Reservoir (SP, Brazil). *Brazilian Journal of Biology*, Vol. 68, No. 1 (February 2008), pp. 69-75. ISSN 1519-6984.
- González, E.J., Carrillo, V.M., & Peñaherrera, C. (2004). Características físicas y químicas del embalse Agua Fría (Parque Nacional Macarao, Estado Miranda, Venezuela). *Acta Científica Venezolana*, Vol. 55, No. 3 (October 2004), pp. 225-236. ISSN 0001-5504.
- González, E.J., Álvarez, M., Barrero, M., & Finol, H. (2009). Limnología y efecto de los impactos antrópicos sobre los peces de interés comercial del embalse de Suata (Estado Aragua) y del Lago de Valencia (Estados Aragua y Carabobo). Universidad Central de Venezuela, *Technical Report*, PG 03.00.6495.2006, Caracas.
- González, E.J., Ortaz, M., Matos, M.L., Mendoza, J., Peñaherrera, C., & Carrillo, V.M. (2002). Zooplankton de dos embalses neotropicales con distintos estados tróficos. *Interciencia*, Vol. 27, No. 10 (October 2002), pp. 551-558. ISSN 0378-1844.
- Hammer, Ø., Harper, D.A.T., & Ryan, P.D. (2001). PAST: Paleontological Statistics software package for education and data analysis. *Paleontologia Electronica*, Vol. 4, No. 1 (June 2001), pp. 1-9. ISSN 1094-8074.
- Hutchinson, G.E. (1957). *A treatise on Limnology. 1. Geography, Physics, and Chemistry*. Wiley & Sons, ISBN 04-7142-570-2, New York.
- Infante, A. (1988). *El plancton de las aguas continentales*. Secretaría General de la Organización de los Estados Americanos, Serie de Biología, Monografía N° 33, ISBN 0-8270-2736-2, Washington DC.
- Infante A. (1993). Vertical and horizontal distribution of the zooplankton in Lake Valencia. *Acta Limnologica Brasiliensia*, Vol. 6, No. 1 (June 1993), pp. 97-105. ISSN 0102-6712.
- Infante, A. & Infante, O. (1994). Limnological studies in a tropical man-made lake (Lagartijo Reservoir), Venezuela. *Internationale Revue der Gesamten Hydrobiologie und Hydrographie*, Vol. 79, No. 1 (March 1994), pp. 17-25. ISSN 0020-9309.
- Infante, A. & Riehl, W. (1984). The effect of Cyanophyta upon zooplankton in a eutrophic tropical lake (Lake Valencia, Venezuela). *Hydrobiologia*, Vol. 113, No. 1 (June 1984), pp. 293-295. ISSN 0018-8158.
- Infante, A., Infante, O., & González, E.J. (1995). Caracterización limnológica de los embalses El Cují y El Andino, Venezuela. Proyecto Multinacional de Medio Ambiente y

- Recursos Naturales. *Technical Report*. Organización de los Estados Americanos, Universidad Central de Venezuela, Caracas.
- Infante, A., Infante, O., & Vegas, T. (1992). Caracterización limnológica de los embalses Camatagua, Guanapito y Lagartijo, Venezuela. Proyecto Multinacional de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales. *Technical Report*. Organización de los Estados Americanos, Universidad Central de Venezuela, Caracas.
- Lampert, W. & Sommer, U. (1997). *Limnocoology. The ecology of lakes and streams*. Oxford University Press, ISBN 0-19-509592-8, Oxford.
- Landa, G.G., Barbosa, F.A.R., Rietzler, A.C., & Maia-Barbosa, P.M. (2007). *Thermocyclops decipiens* (Kiefer, 1929) (Copepoda, Cyclopoida) as indicator of water quality in the State of Minas Gerais, Brazil. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology*, Vol. 50, No. 4 (July 2007), pp. 695-705. ISSN 1516-8913.
- Leitão, A.C., Freire, R.H., Rocha, O., & Santaella, S.T. (2004). Zooplankton community composition and abundance of two Brazilian semiarid reservoirs. *Acta Limnologica Brasiliensia*, Vol. 18, No. 4 (October 2006), pp. 451-468. ISSN 0102-6712.
- Lewis, W.M. (1983). A revised classification of lakes based on mixing. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, Vol. 40, No. 10 (October 1983), pp. 1779-1787. ISSN 1205-7533.
- López, C., Villalobos, M., & González, E.J. (2001). Estudio sobre el zooplancton de los embalses de Venezuela: Estado actual y recomendaciones para futuras investigaciones. *Ciencia*, Vol. 9, No. 2 (April 2001), pp. 217-234. ISSN 1315-2076.
- Matsumura-Tundisi, T. (1997). Composition and vertical distribution of zooplankton in Lake Dom Helvécio - MG, Brazil, In: *Limnological studies on the Rio Doce valley lakes, Brazil*, J.G. Tundisi & Y. Saijo (Eds.), pp. 309-326, Brazilian Academy of Sciences, University of São Paulo, ISBN 85-85761-07-5, Rio de Janeiro.
- Matsumura-Tundisi, T., Rietzler, A., & Tundisi, J.G. 1989. Biomass (dry weight and carbon content) of plankton crustacea from Broa reservoir (São Carlos, S.P. - Brazil) and its fluctuation across one year. *Hydrobiologia*, Vol. 179, No. 3 (July 1989), pp. 229-236. ISSN 0018-8158.
- McQueen, D.J., Post, J.R., & Mills, E.L. (1986). Trophic relationships in freshwater pelagic ecosystems. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, Vol. 43, No. 8 (August 1986), pp. 1571-1581. ISSN 1205-7533.
- Melão, M.G.G. & Rocha, O. (2004). Life history, biomass and production of two planktonic cyclopoid copepods in a shallow subtropical reservoir. *Journal of Plankton Research*, Vol. 26, No. 8 (April 2004), pp. 909-923. ISSN 0142-7873.
- Mélo-Júnior, M., Santos-Almeida, V.L., Nogueira-Paranaguá, M., & Nascimento-Moura, A. (2007). Crustáceos planctônicos de um reservatório oligotrófico do Nordeste do Brasil. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecias*, Vol. 9, No. 1 (June 2007), pp. 19-30. ISSN 1517-6770.
- Mendoza, J. (1999). Variaciones de abundancia del plancton y de las bacterias en el embalse La Mariposa (Dtto. Federal, Venezuela): Período noviembre 1998 - mayo 1999. Universidad Central de Venezuela, *Thesis*, Caracas.



- Merayo, S. & González, E.J. (2010). Variaciones de abundancia y biomasa del zooplancton en un embalse tropical oligo-mesotrófico del norte de Venezuela. *Revista de Biología Tropical*, Vol. 58, No. 2 (June 2010), pp. 603-619. ISSN 0034-7744.
- Ministerio del Ambiente (MINAMB). (2007). Rehabilitación de presas a nivel nacional. Organización para la rehabilitación y mantenimiento de embalses. Ministerio del Poder Popular para el Ambiente (MINAMB). *Technical Report*. Serie de Informes Técnicos, DGEA/IT/864. No. X-002/P-129/02 Rev. B. Caracas.
- Mustapha, M.K. (2009). Zooplankton assemblage of Oyun reservoir, Offa, Nigeria. *Revista de Biología Tropical*, Vol. 57, No. 4 (December 2009), pp. 1027-1047. ISSN 0034-7744.
- Nusch, E.A. & Palme, G. (1975). Biologische Methoden für der Praxis der Gewässeruntersuchung, Bestimmung des Chlorophyll-*a* und Phaeopigment-gehaltes in Oberflächenwässer. *GW-F-Wasser/Abwasser*, Vol. 116, No. 2 (May 1975), pp. 562-565. ISSN 6601-8628.
- Ortiz, M., González, E.J., Manduca, J., Peñaherrera, C., & Montes, E. (1999). Características limnológicas de los embalses La Perezza, Lagartijo, La Mariposa y Quebrada Seca y preservación del zooplancton como controlador de microalgas, mediante técnicas de biomanipulación. Hidroimpacto C.A., Hidrocapital, Universidad Central de Venezuela, *Technical Report*, Caracas.
- Pinto-Coelho, R.M., Bezerra-Neto, J.F., & Morais-Jr., C.A. (2005). Effects of eutrophication on size and biomass of crustacean zooplankton in a tropical reservoir. *Brazilian Journal of Biology*, Vol. 65, No. 2 (May 2005), pp. 325-338. ISSN 1519-6984.
- Rocha, O., Sendacz, S., & Matsumura-Tundisi, T. (1995). Composition, biomass and productivity of zooplankton in natural lakes and reservoirs of Brazil, In: *Limnology in Brazil*. J.G. Tundisi, C.E.M. Bicudo, & T. Matsumura-Tundisi (Eds.), pp. 151-165, Brazilian Academy of Sciences, Brazilian Limnological Society, ISBN 85-7093-003-8, Rio de Janeiro.
- Rocha, O., Matsumura-Tundisi, T., Espíndola, E.L.G., Roche, K.F., & Rietzler, A.C. (1999). Ecological theory applied to reservoir zooplankton, In: *Theoretical reservoir ecology and its applications*. J.G. Tundisi & M. Straškraba (Eds.), pp. 457-476, Brazilian Academy of Sciences, International Institute of Ecology, Backhuys Publishers, ISBN 90-5782-034-X, São Carlos.
- Salas, H. & Martínó, P. (1991). A simplified phosphorus trophic state model for warm-water tropical lakes. *Water Research*, Vol. 25, No. 3 (March 1991), pp. 341-350. ISSN 0043-1354.
- Santos-Wisniewski, M.J. & Rocha, O. (2007). Spatial distribution and secondary production of Copepoda in a tropical reservoir: Barra Bonita, SP, Brazil. *Brazilian Journal of Biology*, Vol. 67, No. 2 (May 2007), pp.223-233. ISSN 1519-6984.
- Sendacz, S., Caleffi, S., & Santos-Soares, J. (2006). Zooplankton biomass of reservoirs in different trophic conditions in the state of São Paulo, Brazil. *Brazilian Journal of Biology*, Vol. 66, No. 1b (February 2006), pp. 337-350. ISSN 1519-6984.
- Tundisi, J.G., Matsumura-Tundisi, T., & Abe, D.S. (2008). The ecological dynamics of Barra Bonita (Tietê River, SP, Brazil) reservoir: Implications for its biodiversity. *Brazilian Journal of Biology*, Vol. 68, No. 4 (Supp.) (November 2008), pp. 1079-1098. ISSN 1519-6984.

Wetzel, R. & Likens, G.E. (2000). *Limnological analyses* (3<sup>rd</sup> edition), Springer, ISBN 0-387-98928-5, New York.

Zhao, J., Ramin, M., Cheng, V., & Arhonditsis, G.B. (2008). Plankton community patterns across a trophic gradient: The role of zooplankton functional groups. *Ecological Modelling*, Vol. 213, No. 3-4 (May 2008), pp. 417-436. ISSN 0304-3800.



## **Biomass and Remote Sensing of Biomass**

Edited by Dr. Islam Atazadeh

ISBN 978-953-307-490-0

Hard cover, 262 pages

**Publisher** InTech

**Published online** 06, September, 2011

**Published in print edition** September, 2011

Generally, the term biomass is used for all materials originating from photosynthesis. However, biomass can equally apply to animals. Conservation and management of biomass is very important. There are various ways and methods for biomass evaluation. One of these methods is remote sensing. Remote sensing provides information about biomass, but also about biodiversity and environmental factors estimation over a wide area. The great potential of remote sensing has received considerable attention over the last few decades in many different areas in biological sciences including nutrient status assessment, weed abundance, deforestation, glacial features in Arctic and Antarctic regions, depth sounding of coastal and ocean depths, and density mapping. The salient features of the book include:

- Several aspects of biomass study and survey
- Use of remote sensing for evaluation of biomass
- Evaluation of carbon storage in ecosystems
- Evaluation of primary productivity through case studies

### **How to reference**

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Ernesto J. González, María L. Matos, Carlos Peñaherrera and Sandra Merayo (2011). Zooplankton Abundance, Biomass and Trophic State in Some Venezuelan Reservoirs, *Biomass and Remote Sensing of Biomass*, Dr. Islam Atazadeh (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-307-490-0, InTech, Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/biomass-and-remote-sensing-of-biomass/zooplankton-abundance-biomass-and-trophic-state-in-some-venezuelan-reservoirs>

**INTECH**  
open science | open minds

### **InTech Europe**

University Campus STeP Ri  
Slavka Krautzeka 83/A  
51000 Rijeka, Croatia  
Phone: +385 (51) 770 447  
Fax: +385 (51) 686 166  
[www.intechopen.com](http://www.intechopen.com)

### **InTech China**

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai  
No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China  
中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元  
Phone: +86-21-62489820  
Fax: +86-21-62489821